Regular Board Meeting
Wednesday, November 11, 2026 6:00 PM

Triangle Lake Charter School--Library
20264 Blachly Grange Rd.
Blachly, OR 97412

Agenda

1. **CALL TO ORDER & PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**
2. **WELCOME GUESTS AND VISITORS**
3. **CHANGES OR ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA**
4. **PUBLIC FORUM/COMMUNICATIONS**
5. **CONSENT AGENDA**
   - 5.1. **BOARD MINUTES**
   - 5.2. **FINANCIAL REPORT**
   - 5.3. **FIRST READ POLICIES**
   - 5.4. **MOTION**
6. **REPORTS**
   - 6.1. **ENROLLMENT**
   - 6.2. **STUDENT SERVICES REPORT**
   - 6.3. **PRINCIPAL'S REPORT**
   - 6.4. **SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT**
   - 6.5. **DIRECTORS REPORT**
7. **UNFINISHED BUSINESS**
   - 7.1. **MOTION**
   - 7.2. **BOARD GOALS**
8. **NEW BUSINESS**
   - 8.1. **ELL OREGON ANNUAL REPORT**
9. **ANNOUNCEMENTS**
   - 9.1. **UPCOMING BOARD MEETING**
   - 9.2. **AGENDA SETTING TEAM**
10. **ADJOURN THE REGULAR MEETING**

Blachly School District #90

Code: BDDH-AR
Revised/Reviewed: 2/21/18; 11/17/21; 10/09/24

Public Comment at Board Meetings

The Board requests that a public comment add information or a perspective that has not already been mentioned previously, and that the patron refrains from repeating a similar point.

To provide public comment in person, if the opportunity is available on the Board agenda, please complete and submit the Intent to Speak card to the Board secretary prior to the meeting. Those attending virtually and want to provide public comment should notify the Board secretary by submitting an email to comments@blachly.k12.or.us as directed prior to the start of the meeting.

A person speaking during the public comment portion of the meeting may comment on a topic not on the published agenda. A person providing public comment will be allowed three minutes. Signing up to provide public comment does not guarantee time will be available.

Any person, who is allowed to speak to the Board during a meeting, should state their name, whether they are a resident of the district and, if speaking for an organization, the name of the organization. A spokesperson should be designated to represent a group with a common purpose.

Comments about a specific employee or group of employees should comply with Board policy BDDH - Public Comment at Board Meetings:

> "A person speaking during the designated portion of the agenda for public comment may offer objective criticism of district operations and programs. The Board will not hear comments regarding any individual district staff member. The Board chair will direct the visitor to the procedures in Board policy KL - Public Complaints published complaint procedures for consideration of a legitimate complaint involving a staff member. Any association contract governing the employee's rights will be followed. A commendation involving a staff member should be sent to the superintendent, who will forward it to the employee, a supervisor and the Board."

SEE FORM ON REVERSE

INTENT TO SPEAK

The Board welcomes input. To provide in-person public comment please submit this completed card to the Board secretary prior the start of the meeting.

Name: _____ Phone: _____

Name of organization (if applicable): _____

Address: _____

Email (optional): _____

Topic or comment to be presented (brief description): _____

_____

_____

A complaint brought before the Board shall be referred to the proper school authorities. A complaint shall be processed in accordance with Board policy KL - Public Complaints and KL-AR - Public Complaints Procedure NX published complaint procedures. A hearing conducted by the Board regarding personnel may take place in an executive session.

The Board requests that a topic or comment is limited to three minutes or less.

Blachly School District #90

Code: BDDH
Adopted: 12/13/93
Revised/Readopted: 1/16/08; 11/19/08; 2/21/18; 1/19/22; 10/09/24

Public Comment at Board Meetings

All Board meetings, with the exception of executive sessions, will be open to the public. The Board invites the district's community members to attend Board meetings to become acquainted with the program and operation of the district. The public has a right to attend public meetings held in open session, and may be invited to share comments, ideas and opinions with the Board during designated times on the agenda. The Board may conduct a meeting without public comment.

Individuals with hearing, vision or speech impairments will be given an equal opportunity to participate in Board meetings and submit written comments to the Board. Individuals requesting assistance, aids or accommodations are encouraged to notify the district at least 48 hours prior to the Board meeting with the request, consistent with Board policy BD/BDA – Board Meetings.

Procedures for Oral Public Comment

The Board establishes the following procedures for public comment at Board meetings held in open session. The information will be accessible and available to all patrons accessing or attending such a Board meeting.

1. Public comment is limited to its designated place on the agenda and while time allows.

2. A person wishing to provide public comment, if an opportunity is provided by the Board during a meeting open to the public, will complete and submit the Intent to Speak card to the Board secretary prior to the Board meeting.[1] A request to give public comment in-person or electronically does not guarantee time will be available.

3. A person speaking during the public comment portion of the meeting may comment on a topic not on the published agenda.

4. A person speaking during the public comment portion of the meeting should state their name, whether they are a resident of the district, and, if speaking for an organization, the name of the organization. A spokesperson should be designated to represent a group with a common purpose.

5. A person giving public comment is limited to an established time limit of three minutes. Statements should be brief and concise. The Board chair has discretion to waive time limits or extend the overall time allotted for public comment. Additional time will be allocated in a fair and equitable manner. If a person has more comments than time allows or is unable to comment due to time constraints, the

[1] When in-person attendees are allowed to provide oral comment, virtual attendees will be afforded the same opportunity.

person is encouraged to submit additional written comments to the Board through the district office as directed.

6. Inquiries from the public during the designated portion of the agenda will not generally be responded to immediately by the Board chair, and may be referred to the superintendent for reply at a later date. The Board will not respond to inquiries that are expected to be addressed during another designated portion of the agenda.

The Board will not hear public comment at Board work sessions.

Topics raised during the public comment portion may be considered for inclusion as agenda items at future Board meetings.

Procedures for Written Comment

Members of the public may submit written comments or materials to the Board at any time at the district office, by mail or by email to comments@blachly90.com . Materials or comments submitted at least 72 hours in advance of a Board meeting will be provided to the Board before the Board meeting. Written materials or comments submitted may not warrant action by the Board.

Comments Regarding Staff Members

A person speaking during the designated portion of the agenda for public comment may offer objective criticism of district operations and programs. The Board will not hear comments regarding any individual district staff member. The Board chair will direct the visitor to the procedures in Board policy KL - Public Complaints for consideration of a legitimate complaint involving a staff member. Any association contract governing the employee's rights will be followed. A commendation involving a staff member should be sent to the superintendent, who will forward it to the employee, a supervisor and the Board.

END OF POLICY

Legal Reference(s):

ORS 165.535
ORS 165.540
ORS 192.610 - 192.690
ORS 332.057
ORS 332.107

Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101-12213 (2018); 29 C.F.R. Part 1630 (2020); 28 C.F.R. Part 35 (2020).
Americans with Disabilities Act Amendments Act of 2008, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101-12133 (2018).
Baca v. Moreno Valley Unified Sch. Dist., 936 F. Supp. 719 (C.D. Cal. 1996).
Leventhal v. Vista Unified Sch. Dist., 973 F. Supp. 951 (S.D. Cal. 1997).
Oregon House Bill 2560 (2021).

Cross Reference(s):

BDDC - Board Meeting Agenda
KC - Community Involvement in Decision Making

Regular Board Meeting
Wednesday, October 14, 2026 6:00 PM

Blachly School District #90---Board Zoom Meeting Link, 20264 Blachly Grange Rd., Blachly, OR 97412

Attendance Taken at 5:58 PM.

Bobbie Jo Brewster:	Present
Ciara Clark:	Present
Nicole Deering:	Present
Meleah Drago:	Present
Sara Gamache:	Present
Bev Schiesser:	Present

Present: 6.
Attendees: Shane Benscoter, Dennis Boyd, Molly Rust, Kelly Goodwin, Ariah Richardson, Veronica Cheek

1. **CALL TO ORDER & PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**
   Board Chair Drago called the meeting to order at 6:14pm. This meeting started late due to google meets access issues.
2. **WELCOME GUESTS AND VISITORS**
3. **CHANGES OR ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA**
   Superintendent Bottensek will add agenda item 5.4: New Hires, Monikka Disla
4. **PUBLIC FORUM/COMMUNICATIONS**
   Public comments are accepted until the start of each meeting. To submit a comment, turn it in to the board secretary or email it in advance. A fillable Public Comment form, referred to in the attached AR, can be downloaded by clicking the menu/settings button. Written comments sent to comments@blachly.k12.or.us will be read during the meeting and should take less than three minutes. Verbal comments are limited to three minutes per person, with a total maximum of 15 minutes for all comments.

   There were no public comments.
5. **CONSENT AGENDA**
   5.1. **BOARD MINUTES**
   September 15, 2026 Board Minutes
   There were no questions or changes in the minutes.
   5.2. **FINANCIAL REPORT**
   Business Manager Molly Rust has provided the September check register, pro-card statement and financial report to the board for review.
   Ms. Rust answers questions about the check register and pro card statements.
   Ms. Rust goes over her financial report. She then explains what she found out about the moving of funds. We have been advised to wait until the audit is complete and for the state school fund to be adjusted in December. So she recommends that we wait until January to visit the option of doing a transfer to capitol projects.
   5.3. **RESIGNATIONS**
   Superintendent Bottensek presents the resignation of Brendan Stuart from the district.

Superintendent Bottensek shares the resignation of Brendan Stuart from an Instructional Assistant position.

5.4. **HIRES (agenda item added at the time of the meeting)**

Superintendent Bottensek shares the hire of Monikka Disla-Rosenburg for the Instructional Aid position.

Superintendent Bottensek shares the hire of Monikka Disla-Rosenburg. She will be filling the now open IA position.

5.5. **MOTION**

Director Schiesser move to approve the consent agenda as amended. This motion, made by Bev Schiesser and seconded by Nicole Deering, Carried.

Bobbie Jo Brewster: Yea

Ciara Clark: Yea

Nicole Deering: Yea

Meleah Drago: Yea

Sara Gamache: Yea

Bev Schiesser: Yea

Yea: 6, Nay: 0

6. **REPORTS**

6.1. **ENROLLMENT**

Grade	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
On Campus	14	8	18	13	18	15	20	19	17	11	20	10	14	197
TLCS Online	6	13	13	17	15	10	11	14	12	3	4	4	3	125
Out of District Placement														2
Student Count Change	-1	-3	-1	-2		-4		-3	-2	-1	-1			-18

Total Enrollment: 365

Monthly Enrollment Total for Blachly SD 2026-2027	
June	375
August	365
September	342
October	324
November	
December	
January	
February	
March	
April	

Monthly Enrollment Total for Blachly SD 2026-2027	
May	
June	

Superintendent Bottensek shares that we are down in both programs from last month, but please remember that September to October has our 10 day drops reflected. She answers questions about the pattern of enrollment and what we expect to see moving into the year.

6.2. **FACILITIES REPORT**

Maintenance Director, Shane Benscoter provided a maintenance update to the board.

Mr. Benscoter answers questions about upcoming projects. He also answers questions about the current lead testing that is ongoing. He and Mr. Boyd answer questions about the weather station that they are putting up on the website. They are hoping this will be helpful during delays and closures to help give people a real idea of what the weather at the school is doing. He explains how the monitoring system works for the refrigerators and freezers that he installed and how the alerts work.

6.3. **TECHNOLOGY REPORT**

Technology Director, Dennis Boyd provided an update to the board.

Mr. Boyd answers questions about his report. He talks about our reserve for devices and how that works for checking out devices for students. He talks about how the devices we have now seemed to be holding up better than the devices we had prior. He also answers questions about how our battery back-up works and how we don't lose information when we have power outages, and how communication back-up comes up.

6.4. **SPECIAL EDUCATION SERVICES REPORT**

Ms. Ronda Gardner, Special Education Director, will provide a report to the board.

Ms. Gardner gives her report. They are currently serving 47 on campus and 11 online. We took in 10 new special education students this year. She explains that several of the new IEP's have had to be renewed within the first few weeks of school, so this has been a big lift. She has been very happy with her staff and how they have adjusted this year. She also appreciated that we were able to post a part-time instructional staff to help this year. That is going to make a huge difference. We are also happy with the minutes we are getting from the School Psychologist we have from LESD. We currently have 4 students in the PASS program and may be adding a 5th.

Board Chair Drago asks if the part-time position is different than an instructional assistant. Superintendent Bottensek says it is different, it's more like a teaching position, it will be a certified position so they can help with lesson plans for groups and other teaching duties. We do have a lot more minutes needing to be served in the resource room instead of push-in minutes in the classroom, which takes different staffing.

Director Gamache asks about the School Psychologist, and what the notice to parents is. Ms. Gardner answers her questions about the legal notices and why we need to use a psychologist.

6.5. **PRINCIPAL'S REPORT**

Principal Brittany Bottensek shares a report with the board.
Superintendent Bottensek shares the past and upcoming dates in her report. Highlighting Spirit week for Homecoming. She thanks the Booster Club for providing food at the bonfire. She also thanks the staff who have been working hard to make homecoming week special. She invites board members to join us for the trick or treat trail at the fall festival on the 29th of October in the afternoon. She also reminds everyone that we have added an additional 4 hours of parent-teacher conference time this year. So Thursday evening and all day Friday there will be conferences. This will include both in-person and virtual conferences. The board asks why we can't go back to conferences in the classroom again, it feels more confidential. Superintendent Bottensek says that it's hard to do it in the classrooms without doing actual scheduled times. But the mention of the gym might give more private space, with lined-out spacing, so that families don't feel like people are listening over them. Superintendent Bottensek says that they will discuss this at the next secondary staff meeting.
Director Schiesser says that she has noticed a lot more social media presence, and asks who is doing that? Superintendent Bottensek shares that herself and Ms. Tripp have made a priority to do a video reel each week. And we are also posting photos on the app which lands on our website.
Director Gamache asks about consent for photos/videos of students. Superintendent Bottensek shares that we have a no photo option on our enrollment, also when they are taking videos they are asking students if they mind.

6.6. **SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT**

Superintendent Brittany Bottensek will give a report to the board.
Superintendent Bottensek shares her report. She gives details of the ALICE training we participated in with all the staff. She thanks the sheriff's office and those who came and helped us with this training. She gives the board a snapshot of what this training looked like and what staff learned. She shares the two parts of our Rural PD training. We just had our first administration walk-through, which was held at Lowell this time. She also shares what she has left for her personal professional development before she can apply for her admin license.

6.7. **DIRECTORS REPORT**

All board members attended the OSBA Roadshow last night at the Lane ESD.
Director Schiesser shares that she was told how nice our students and staff are and how caring they are of their peers.
Director Gamache shares she went to the Rotary Breakfast, and she enjoyed hearing all the great things that were shared about our students.

6.8. **FALL INTERIM ASSESSMENT REPORT**

Superintendent Bottensek will present the 2026 Fall MAPs data.
Superintendent Bottensek shares that this specific assessment report is a state requirement starting this year. All students are to be tested 3 times a year and those have to be reported on 3 times a year as well. All other assessment data will be shared in the Superintendent's report when available.
Superintendent Bottensek shares the data and how it is broken down in the graphs. She shares that this data is both online and on-campus. This is compared nationally

and shows where our students rank. She also shares that not all students have been tested yet, but most of them have. But you will see a slight shift in numbers when we review the winter assessments. Also, if there are fewer than 10 students at a grade level who have been tested, then this data won't be shown.

7. **UNFINISHED BUSINESS**
   7.1. **BOARD GOALS**

The board will discuss if they wish to make changes to their Board Goals for the 2026-2027 school year. Their goals for last year are listed below.
2025-2026 Board Goals:

1. To address or resolve property boundaries with the Grange.
2. A monthly review of the Strategic Plan: Academic Success, Reading Program, Enrollment Rate, Volunteerism.

Director Schiesser states she wants to keep the goal to address or resolve property boundaries with the Grange. And she is alright with keeping the monthly review of the Strategic Plan goal. She would also like to add the Community Involvement of Board members, but thinks this needs to be word-smithed.
Director Gamache shares her ideas about community outreach and feedback. The board discusses ideas around this. But no goal was formed.

The board agrees that Ms. Simington will produce a one-pager to highlight each meeting that is more accessible and visual for the community.

Board goals were not decided tonight.

   7.2. **POLICY DBDB -- REVIEW & DISCUSS FUND OPTIONS**

Board Chair Drago reminds the board that Ms. Rust gave her suggestion about waiting till after the audit is finalized. She suggests they follow the advice given by Ms. Rust and all continue to think about what they would like to do, but wait to direct Ms. Rust to make a transfer budget, then she can work on that after December and present it to the board after.

8. **NEW BUSINESS**
   8.1. **DIVISION 22 STANDARDS**

Superintendent Brittany Bottensek will give a presentation about the Division 22 Standards.
Superintendent Bottensek shares that we are completely in compliance with the Division 22 Standards for the 2025-2026 school year.
Superintendent Bottensek goes over the presentation with the board.

9. **THE BOARD MAY RECESS THE REGULAR MEETING AND CONVENE EXECUTIVE SESSION**

The board will convene an Executive session pursuant to ORS 192.660:

(i) To review and evaluate the employment-related performance of the chief executive officer of any public body, a public officer, employee or staff member who does not request an open hearing

Board Chair Drago recesses the regular meeting at 8:22pm.

10. **RECONVENE REGULAR SESSION**

    Board Chair Drago reconvened the regular session at 8:29pm.

11. **ANNOUNCEMENTS**

    11.1. **UPCOMING BOARD MEETING**

    The next Regular Board Meeting will be held on Wednesday, November 11th, 2026 at 6pm.

    11.2. **AGENDA SETTING TEAM**

    The board will decide who will be attending the November agenda-setting meeting. Director Gamache agrees to attend this meeting. It will be held on November 2nd at 10am.

12. **ADJOURN THE REGULAR MEETING**

    Board Chair Drago adjourned the meeting at 8:31pm.

Board Chair Drago	Superintendent Bottensek

OSBA Model Sample Policy

Code: IGBB
Adopted:

Talented and Gifted Program and/or Services**

The district is committed to an educational program that recognizes, identifies and serves the unique strengths and needs of students identified as talented and gifted. Talented and gifted students demonstrate exceptional performance when compared to applicable developmental or learning progressions, with consideration given for variations in student's opportunity to learn and to culturally relevant indicators of ability.

The Board directs the superintendent to develop a process for identification of talented and gifted students in grades K through 12. [(See Board policy IGBBA – Talented and Gifted Students – Identification**)]

The district will develop a written plan of instruction for talented and gifted students~~[in accordance with law.] [that:~~

~~1. Includes a statement of the district policy on the education of talented and gifted students (this policy);~~

~~2. Identifies and assesses special talented and gifted programs and services available in the district;~~

~~3. States goals related to providing such programs and services, including timelines for achievement;~~

~~4. Describes the programs and services intended to accomplish stated goals;~~

~~5. Describes how the district provides parents an opportunity to discuss and to provide input on programs and services for their child;~~

~~6. Describes how the district will evaluate progress of the plan; and~~

~~7. States the name and contact information for the district's talented and gifted coordinator.[1]]~~

~~[The district shall submit such plan to the Oregon Department of Education (ODE) as directed.]~~

[The plan will be provided at the school or the district office, when requested, and will be published on the district's website. The district website shall provide the name and contact information of the district's coordinator of special education and programs for talented and gifted.] ~~[The district will annually report the name and contact information of the district's TAG coordinator to ODE.]~~

~~[The district may also identify and provide programs for students who demonstrate outstanding ability or potential in creative ability in using original or nontraditional methods in thinking and producing; leadership ability in motivating the performance of others in educational or noneducational settings; and/or ability in the visual or performing arts, such as dance, music or art.]~~

[1] For the list of complete requirements of the plan, see ORS 343.397(1).

~~[Complaints regarding programs and/or services can be filed in accordance with Board policy KL - Public Complaints, beginning at [Step 2]. The superintendent or designee may choose to convene a committee in making a decision.] OR~~ [Complaints regarding programs and/or services can be filed in accordance with the procedure in the accompanying administrative regulation, IGBB-AR - Complaints Regarding the Talented and Gifted Program and/or Services.]

END OF POLICY

Legal Reference(s):

ORS 343.391 - 343.401
ORS 343.407 - 343.413
OAR 581-022-2325
OAR 581-022-2330
OAR 581-022-2370
OAR 581-022-2500

OSBA Model Sample Policy

Code: IGBBA
Adopted:

Talented and Gifted Students - Identification**

In order to serve talented and gifted ("TAG") students in grades K through 12, the district directs the superintendent ~~[or designee] [after due consideration of the input of staff, parents and the community]~~ to establish an identification process.

This process of identification shall include at a minimum:

1. Use of evidence-based practices that include a variety of tools and procedures to determine if a student demonstrates a pattern of exceptional performance and/or achievement that is relevant to the identification of TAG students under ORS 343.395.

2. Collection and use of multiple modes and methods of qualitative and quantitative evidence to allow appropriate members of a student's identification team to make a determination about the identification and eligibility of the students for TAG services, supports and/or programs; with no single test or piece of evidence eliminating a student from eligibility.

3. Use of methods and practices that minimize or seek to eliminate the effects of bias in assessment and identification of students from historically underrepresented populations including, but not limited to:

    a. Students who are racially/ethnically diverse;
    b. Students experiencing disability;
    c. Students who are culturally and/or linguistically diverse;
    d. Students experiencing poverty; and
    e. Students experiencing high mobility.

4. Incorporate assessments, tools and procedures that will inform the development of an appropriate plan of instruction for students who are identified as TAG and describe how information from the assessments, tools and procedures used in the identification for TAG students will be used to support development of the plan of instruction.

5. Identify how the educational record under ORS 326.565 of the student being considered will document and reflect the record of the team's decision and the procedures and data used by the team to make the decision.

The district will provide professional development for staff assigned the responsibility for identification of talented and gifted students.

~~[The identification team may use sources of evidence described in OAR 581-022-2325(3) to provide students with multiple opportunities to demonstrate a pattern or preponderance of evidence of talent or giftedness.]~~

~~[Academic evidence reviewed shall align to the full depth, breadth, and complexity of Oregon's content standards and benchmarks. Standardized assessments used for academic/achievement-based identification~~

~~shall include technical documentation demonstrating alignment or documentation of intended use for the purpose of TAG identification. Standardized assessments used for intellectually gifted identification shall include technical documentation demonstrating alignment to research-based best practices inclusive of students from underrepresented populations.]~~

When a student is identified for TAG, the district shall inform parents of the programs and services available to their student and provide an opportunity for parents to provide input to, and discuss TAG instruction proposed for their student. The instruction provided shall be designed to accommodate the student's assessed levels of learning and accelerated rates of learning. Parents may request the withdrawal of their student from TAG at any time.

If a parent is dissatisfied with the identification process or placement of their student, they may submit an appeal through ~~[Board policy KL - Public Complaints and begin at [Step 2~~] with the superintendent ~~[or designee]]~~ [the accompanying administrative regulation, IGBBA-AR - Appeal Procedure for Talented and Gifted Student Identification and Placement**].

After exhausting the district's appeal procedure and receiving the district's final decision, a parent may appeal the decision to the Deputy Superintendent of Public Instruction under Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 581-002-0001 – 581-002-0023. The district shall provide a copy of the OARs upon request.

END OF POLICY

Legal Reference(s):

ORS 343.395
ORS 343.407
ORS 343.409
ORS 343.411
OAR 581-021-0030
OAR 581-022-2325
OAR 581-022-2330
OAR 581-022-2370
OAR 581-022-2500

OSBA Model Sample Policy

Code: **IGBB-AR**
Revised/Reviewed:

Complaints Regarding the Talented and Gifted Program and/or Services

The following procedure will be utilized when complaints arise regarding the district's talented and gifted programs and services ("TAG").

All complaints regarding TAG will be reported to the [superintendent ~~or designee~~]. A form is available, but not required. The [superintendent or designee] may use the form to document and gather relevant information.

1. Upon receipt of a TAG complaint, the [superintendent ~~or designee~~] shall arrange for a review committee consisting of the [TAG coordinator~~/teacher~~, the program supervisor, a counselor and a school psychologist].

2. The review committee shall meet within [two] working days of when the complaint was received and review all pertinent information.

   The review committee may recommend that:

   a. The programs or services are appropriate; or
   b. The programs or services are not appropriate.

   A recommendation from the review committee will be submitted to the [superintendent] within [10] working days of receiving the original complaint.

3. The [superintendent] shall review the committee's recommendation and make a decision. The [superintendent] will issue a decision within [10] working days of receiving the recommendation.

4. If dissatisfied with the [superintendent's] decision, the complainant may submit an appeal to the Board within [five] working days of receiving the decision. The Board will review the findings and conclusion to determine what action is appropriate. The Board may use executive session if the subject matter qualifies under Oregon law. The Board's decision will be final and will address each allegation in the complaint and contain reasons for the Board's decision. The Board's final decision will be issued in writing or electronic form.

If the complainant, who is [a student,] a parent or guardian of a student who attends school in the district~~[,]~~ [or] a person who resides in the district, remains dissatisfied and has exhausted local procedures, may appeal[1] the district's final decision to the Deputy Superintendent of Public Instruction under Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) 581-002-0001 – 581-002-0023. The district shall provide a copy of the OARs upon request.

[1] An appeal must meet the criteria found in OAR 581-002-0005(1)(a).

{[2]}Timelines may be extended upon written agreement between the district and the complainant.

[2] {For district information. The district's complaint process should align with OAR 581-022-2370 and consider an appeal process to ODE in OAR 581-002-0005.}

[Blachly School District #90]
[20264 Blachly Grange Rd Blachly OR 97412]

TALENTED AND GIFTED PROGRAM AND/OR SERVICES COMPLAINT FORM

Name _____

Address _____

Phone (Daytime) _____ (Evenings) _____

Date of Complaint _____

1. What is the nature of your complaint? _____

2. What is the district currently doing? _____

3. In your opinion, in what way is this situation a violation of state standards?

4. What do you feel the district should be doing? _____

5. Other pertinent comments _____

Signature: _____

OSBA Model Sample Policy

Code: **IGBBA-AR**
Revised/Reviewed:

Appeal Procedure for Talented and Gifted Student Identification and Placement**

The Board has established an appeal process for a parent to utilize if they are dissatisfied with the identification process and/or placement of their student in the district program for talented and gifted (TAG) students, and wish to request reconsideration. The district's desire and intent is to reach satisfactory solutions during the informal process:

Informal Process

1. A parent will contact the district's [TAG coordinator~~/teacher~~] to request reconsideration.

2. The [TAG coordinator~~/teacher~~] will confer or meet with the parent, and may include any additional appropriate persons (e.g., principal, counselor, teacher, etc.), within five ~~[working]~~ [school] days of the request. Information pertinent to the selection or placement will be shared.

3. If an agreement cannot be reached, the parent may initiate the Formal Process.

Formal Process

1. A parent shall submit a written request for reconsideration of the identification and/or placement to the [program supervisor] within five working days of the conference identified above.

2. The [program supervisor] shall acknowledge in writing the receipt of the request within five working days and shall forward copies of the request and acknowledgment to the [TAG coordinator~~/teacher~~].

3. The [program supervisor, TAG coordinator~~/teacher~~] and other appropriate administrator shall review the student's file and earlier decisions within 10 working days of the original request presented in the previous step. Additional data may be gathered to support or change the earlier decision. The parent may be provided an opportunity to present additional evidence.

   If deemed necessary, a formal hearing will be conducted by the district hearings officer utilizing the appropriate procedures.

4. A decision will be made by the [program supervisor] within [20] working days after receipt of the written request for reconsideration from the parent. The parent shall be notified of the decision in writing and the decision shall be forwarded to the superintendent.

5. The decision may be appealed to the Board through Board policy KL – Public Complaints and may begin at Step 3.

6. If the parent is still dissatisfied, the parent may file an appeal to the Deputy Superintendent of Public Instruction under Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) 581-002-0001 – 581-002-0023. The district shall provide a copy of the OARs upon request.

Student Services Board Report

November 2026

Over the past two months, our students have had several wonderful opportunities to engage in hands-on learning, career exploration, community partnerships, and educational experiences outside the classroom.

Student Learning and Educational Experiences

- **7th and 8th Grade:** Students attended the Lane County Career Expo, where they had the opportunity to explore a variety of career pathways and learn about future opportunities.
- **Kindergarten and 1st Grade:** During Fire Safety Month, local firefighters visited our youngest students to provide fire safety education and share information about their important work in the community. Students also enjoyed a trip to the pumpkin patch as part of their fall learning experiences.
- **5th Grade:** Students visited Northern Lights Christmas Tree Farm to wrap up their English unit on corn, providing an opportunity to connect their classroom learning with real-world experiences.
- **9th–12th Grade Excel Students:** Students visited East West Tea Company and Lance Cabinets Manufacturing to learn about local businesses, industries, and career opportunities.
- **9th–12th Grade:** High school students attended a college fair at Lane Community College (LCC), giving them an opportunity to explore postsecondary education options and connect with representatives from colleges and universities.
- **12th Grade:** Seniors had the opportunity to visit Willamette University and the Oregon State Capitol as part of their continued college and civic education experiences.

Our annual Fall Festival was a great success! We were especially pleased with the turnout and support from our community partners. It was wonderful to see board members, Grange members, and other community partners come together to support our students and school.

Today, Wednesday, November 11, we are holding our annual Veterans Day Assembly. This is an important tradition at Triangle Lake, providing our students and staff with an opportunity to recognize, honor, and thank the veterans in our community for their service and sacrifices. We appreciate the veterans, families, and community members who join us in recognizing this special day.

It has been a busy and exciting couple of months at Triangle Lake. We continue to look for opportunities to connect classroom learning with real-world experiences, expose students to future career and college opportunities, and strengthen our relationships with the community. We are grateful for the continued support of our staff, families, board members, and community partners in making these experiences possible.

District Board Meeting: Triangle Lake Charter School Report

November 2026 Meeting

- **Our Fall Festival was a success at the end of October!**
  - **Middle and high school students did an outstanding job leading activities for our K–5 students throughout the afternoon. It was wonderful to see their teamwork and creativity in action as they planned, collaborated, and carried out their events.**
    - **6th grade – Fall BINGO**
    - **7th grade – Musical Chairs**
    - **8th grade – Picture Frame & Masks Decorating**
    - **9th grade – Carnival Games**
    - **10th grade – Mummy Wrap Activity**
    - **11th grade – Pumpkin Seed Counting & Bookmark Decorating**
    - **12th grade – Haunted House**
  - **A big thank you to Larry and Jane from the Grange and our board member Nicole that came and participated in the trick or treat trail, a stop between for each grade as they moved to their next activity.**

- **Veterans Day Celebration on November 11th**
  - **Assistant Principal Mrs. Tripp and Triangle Grange Master Pam Alley are working together to organize and plan a Veterans Day Celebration at the school and grange.**
    - **Assembly is at 2:30pm in TLCS Gym**
    - **Dessert was served following the assembly at the Triangle Lake Grange**

- **Fall Upbeat Staff Survey**
  - **Staff are currently completing the Fall Upbeat Survey, which provides valuable feedback on staff experiences, school culture and areas for growth. The survey results will be shared with the staff and board again this year once they are available.**

- **Mid-Semester Parent/Teacher Conferences:**
  - **Thursday, November 12th: Parent Conferences 4pm-8pm**
    - **Friday, November 13th: 8:00am-12:00pm & 12:30pm-4:00pm**
  - **There are both virtual and in-person conferences available**
    - **K-5th Grade: Conferences by appointment only.**
    - **6th-12th Grade: Drop-in, arena style, conferences.**
      - **Thursday, November 12th**
        - **4:00pm-6:00pm via Google Meets**
        - **6:00pm-8:00pm in TLCS Gym**
      - **Friday, November 13th**
        - **8:00am-12:00pm in TLCS Gym**
        - **12:30pm-4:00pm via Google Meets**

- **Winter Sports:**
  - **MS Boys Basketball & MS Boys & Girls Wrestling – 1st practice Monday, October 26th**
    - **The MS basketball season is split again this year, boys first and girls second.**
  - **HS Boys & Girls Basketball and HS Boys & Girls Wrestling – 1st practice Monday, November 16th**

- **Upcoming Dates:**
  - **Tuesday, 11/17: Fall Sports Awards @ 5:30pm**
  - **Monday, 11/23 - Thursday, 11/26: NO SCHOOL Thanksgiving Break**
  - **Monday, 11/30: Student of the Month Assembly @ 3pm**
  - **Thursday, 12/3: K-3 Winter Music Concert @ 6:30pm**
  - **Thursday, 12/10: 4th-12th Winter Band Concert @ 7pm**
  - **Monday, 12/14 - Thursday, 12/17: K-12 Winter Spirit Week**
  - **Thursday, 12/17: Student of the Month Assembly @ 8am**
  - **Monday, 12/21 - Thursday, 12/31: NO SCHOOL - Winter Break**

November 2026 District Board Meeting

Superintendent Report - Ms. Bottensek

- **ESEA Federal Monitoring**
  - **Our district has been selected to participate in the Oregon Department of Education's ESEA Federal Monitoring process for the 2026-27 school year. This process reviews our district's implementation of federal programs to ensure compliance with federal requirements and appropriate use of funds. We will be reviewing our current practices, gathering required documentation and submitting evidence to ODE as part of the monitoring process.**
    - **Title I**
    - **Tittle II**
    - **Title IV**
    - **Title V-B (REAP)**
    - **Foster Care**
    - **McKinney-Vento**
  - **Our Universal Monitoring Tool (UMT) which is a self-evaluation of the practices and procedures currently implemented within our district has been submitted.**

- **Special Education Fall Cyclical Monitoring**
  - **Our SpEd fall cyclical monitoring process is nearing completion. Ronda has a meeting scheduled later this month then district will have 60 days to respond to any additional requests for information. We anticipate the process will be fully completed by the end of January.**

- **Food Services Cyclical Monitoring**
  - **Our district is participating in Food Services Cyclical Monitoring, with this year's review focused specifically on procurement practices. Molly is working on gathering the necessary documentation and ensuring our food service purchasing procedures comply with state and federal requirements.**

- **State Reporting**
  - **Summer Learning Report is due November 13th**
  - **Integrated Programs Q1 Report due November 15th**

- **Lane County Rural District Professional Development Collaborative**
  - **This partnership continues into this school year:**
    - **Blachly**
    - **Crow-Applegate-Lorane**
    - **Mapleton**
    - **Marcola**
    - **McKenzie**
    - **Oakridge**
    - **Lowell**
    - **Lane ESD**
  - **Our next Rural PD day will be held at Lowell on Friday, December 4th.**

- **LETRS Professional Development**
  - **We currently have one staff member completing Year 2 of LETRS training and six additional staff members participating in Year 1 through Lane ESD. LETRS (Language Essentials for Teachers of Reading and Spelling) is a professional development program grounded in the science of reading that equips educators with research-based strategies to strengthen literacy instruction and improve student reading outcomes.**

- **2025-26 Blachly SD 90 Oregon Statewide Assessment System Summary**
  - **Attached is the 2025-26 Blachly School District OSAS Summary Report, which provides an overview of student performance on the Oregon Statewide Assessment System (OSAS) assessments.**


- **2026-27 Enrollment**
  - **Enrollment will be monitored closely as we begin the 2026-27 school year. A significant decline from projected enrollment may require budget adjustments to ensure expenditures remain aligned with available revenue.**

- **Professional Development:**
  - **COSA Superintendent Academy Year 2**
    - **Yearlong group**
    - **Monthly virtual meetings**
    - **4 in-person learning sessions at conferences throughout the year.**
  - **Administrator University Course:**
    - **ADMN 644 Program Evaluation for Systems**
    - **ADMN 646 Maximizing and Aligning Organization Resources**
  - **COSA Law Conference**


- **I will be attending the COSA Law Conference at The Graduate in Eugene for one day during the first week of December.**

Blachly SD 90 OSAS Trends Summary


Mathematics OSAS Percent Proficient (Scoring 3 or 4)



English Language Arts OSAS Percent Proficient (Scoring 3 or 4)



Science OSAS Percent Proficient (Scoring 3 or 4)




Note: To protect privacy, data is suppressed for grade levels where fewer than 10 students took the assessment.


Data Source: ODE Assessment Group Reports

25-26 Blachly SD 90 OSAS Summary


25-26 Math Performance by Grade (Levels 3 and 4 are Proficient)



25-26 English Language Arts Performance by Grade (Levels 3 and 4 are Proficient)



25-26 Science Performance by Grade (Levels 3 and 4 are Proficient)



Note: To protect privacy, data is suppressed for grade levels where fewer than 10 students took the assessment.

Data Source: ODE Assessment Group Reports

English Learners in Oregon

Annual Report 2024-25

June 2026

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Oregon achieves . . . together!

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements 2

Executive Summary 3

Introduction 8

Why this report? 8

Structure of this report 9

Section 1: Demographics of English Learners in Oregon in 2024-25 11

Current and Former English Learners 11

Section 2: Participation in Targeted Programs 22

Students Experiencing Poverty 23

Special Education 23

Migrant Education 25

Talented and Gifted 27

Section 3: Language Development and Performance on State Assessments 28

Progress Towards English Language Proficiency 28

Performance on English Language Arts and Mathematics Assessments 31

Section 4: Attendance, Progress toward Graduation, Graduation, and Beyond 35

Regular Attendance 35

On Track to Graduate 36

Four-Year Graduation 38

Five-Year Completer Rates 39

Oregon State Seal of Biliteracy/Multiliteracy 41

Postsecondary Enrollment 44

Dual Language Bilingual Education Programs 46

English Learners' Access to Core Content 49

English Learners' Sense of Belonging 51

Section 5: State Revenues and Expenditures for Current English Learners 53

Conclusion 55

References 57

Acknowledgements

We extend our appreciation to colleagues from across the Oregon Department of Education who contributed to this report through data sharing, data analysis, and review of the findings.

Office of Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion
Michael Essien, Assistant Superintendent
Mary Martinez-Wenzl, Director
Reza Norouzian, Research Analyst
Kim Miller, Education Program Specialist
Jennifer Fontana, Education Program Specialist

English Learner Advisory Group
Maranda Turner, George Fox University
Elena Collazo Santiago, Portland Public Schools
Manuel Vazquez Cano, Education Northwest
Jason Echeverria, Malheur Education Service District
Jessica Dougherty, Western Oregon University
Yannette Ayala, West Linn- Wilsonville School District
Araceli Farias, Gresham-Barlow School District
Kristen Rush, Teacher Standards and Practices Commission
Amanda Kibler, Oregon State University
Angelie Fitz-Cortez, Multnomah County
Kevin Blanco, Amity School District
Maria Lee, Phoenix-Talent School District
Trevor Flaherty, Redmond School District
Will Flores, Portland Public School District
Xinyu Luo, Beaverton School District

Office of Information Technology
Grisha Alpernas, Chief Information Officer
Lauren Holstein, Business Analyst

Office of Research, Assessment, Data, Accountability, and Reporting
Andrea Lockard, Assistant Superintendent
Jon Wiens, Director
Ben Wolcott, Education Program Specialist
Joshua Rew, Psychometrician
Greg Houser, Research Analyst
Evan Fuller, Research Analyst
Stephanie Evers, Research Analyst
Stephanie Gluck, Research Analyst
Kai Tyler, Research Analyst

Executive Summary

ORS 327.016 directs the Oregon Department of Education (ODE) to prepare an annual report on English learner program funding and student outcomes. The report's purpose is to describe the population of English learners in Oregon and provide a summary of district and state progress towards meeting English learners' needs and the state's objectives to meet those needs. Pursuant to this statute, ODE has also developed the Multilingual Learner Strategic Plan, with priority areas emphasizing community engagement, transformative educators, culturally responsive practices, and pathways to multilingualism to ensure equity and success for all multilingual learners in Oregon's public schools. The current English learner report is also available on ODE's Public Transparency webpage.

Definitions

ORS 327.016 describes English learners as students who are eligible to receive English language instruction, supports, and services through an English Language Development (ELD) program. While these students are learning English in an ELD program, the English learner designation only focuses on a singular dimension (i.e., learning English) and does not reflect the linguistic and cultural assets of the students (García, 2009). Thus, although this report will use the term "English learner" in alignment with ORS 327.016, it is important to recognize that these students are multilingual learners who bring valuable linguistic and cultural assets.

ODE annually reports on four groups of English learners: current, former, ever, and never English learners. Current English learners are multilingual students who were learning English in an ELD program during the 2024-25 school year[1]. Former English learners are multilingual students who demonstrated English proficiency and exited an ELD program prior to the 2024-25 school year. (Monitored English learners are a subset of former English learners who are monitored for four years after exiting an ELD program.) Ever English learners are the combination of current, monitored, and former English learners. Finally, never English learners are monolingual English or multilingual students who were not eligible to receive English language instruction, supports, and services in an ELD program before or during the 2024-25 school year.

Oregon's English learner population continues to grow, driven in part by increases in recently arrived students.

As of May 1, 2025, 539,521 students were enrolled in Oregon public schools and districts. Among those students, 12% were current English learners (64,525 students), 4% were former English learners (21,760 students), 3% were monitored English learners (16,285 students), and 81% were never English learners (436,951 students). Collectively, current, monitored, and former English learners (i.e., Ever English learners, constituting over 102,000 or 19% of students) represent an incredibly diverse student population in 2024-25. Guided by Oregon's vision for multilingual learners, this report highlights student demographics, academic

[1] Note that current English learners also include students who are eligible to receive English language instruction, supports, and services in an ELD program but do not participate because their parents or guardians waive English language instruction, supports, and services.

outcomes, educational experiences, and opportunities for current, former, and monitored English learners across the state.

What's New in the 2024-25 Report

Several notable changes occurred in the English learner student population and outcomes during the 2024-25 school year:

- The number of current English learners increased to 64,525 students, a 3.2% increase from 62,522 students in 2023-24, while overall statewide enrollment declined to 539,521 students.
- The number of recent arrivers in Oregon has more than doubled over the past five years. In 2024-25, 10,353 current English learners were recent arrivers, representing the highest count observed during the six-year period examined. Most of this growth occurred during the last two school years.
- Ever English learners represented 19% of Oregon's student population, up slightly from 18.8% in 2023-24.
- The average expenditures-to-revenues ratio increased from 0.87 in 2023-24 to 0.95 in 2024-25.
- To support future alignment with Oregon Senate Bill 141 (2025), this report includes additional analyses related to third-grade English language arts achievement, eighth-grade mathematics achievement, regular attendance, and five-year completion rates.

The distribution of current English learners varied across grade levels and districts in Oregon during the 2024-25 school year. Woodburn School District served the highest percentage of current English learners, with 48.1% of students learning English in an ELD program as of May 1, 2025. On the other hand, 59 Oregon districts had less than 5% of their students learning English in an ELD program.

Other key features of the English learner student population in the 2024-25 school year include the following:

- Most current English learners were in elementary grades (55.3%) while the majority of former English learners were in high school grades (80.1%).
- Between 2019-20 and 2024-25, overall statewide enrollment declined by 6.7%, while the number of current English learners increased by 20.6%.
- The number of recently arrived current English learners (i.e., new immigrant students) increased by 56%, from 6,647 in 2023-24 to 10,353 students in 2024-25.
- Spanish was the predominant home language of current English learners (75.5%), but overall, there were 247 documented unique home languages (unchanged from the previous year) spoken by current English learners. Other prevalent languages include Russian, Chinese, Vietnamese, Ukrainian, Chuukese, and Arabic.
- Approximately 77% of current English learners were Latino/a/x, and 35% of Latino/a/x students were current English learners. A similar percentage of Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander students (about 34%) were current English learners.

Current English learners were more likely to receive special education services and less likely to participate in TAG programs.

The report also examines the involvement of English learners in targeted federal and state programs: students experiencing poverty (SEP), special education, migrant education, and the talented and gifted (TAG) program.

Key findings include the following:

- A higher percentage of current, former, and monitored English learners experienced poverty compared to never English learners (approximately 52, 39, and 38%, respectively, vs. 30%).
- A higher percentage of current English learners received special education services and supports compared to never English learners (about 19 vs. 17%); however, former and monitored English learners had lower rates (about 5 and 7%, respectively).
- About 9% of current English learners (5,869 students) received services in migrant education programs. Additionally, about 63% of the students in migrant education programs were current English learners, 15% were former English learners, and over 11% were monitored English learners. In total, 90% of students in migrant education programs were ever English learners.
- While across Oregon, 7.6% of never English learners participated in TAG programs, this figure was 7.3% for former English learners, 6.9% for monitored English learners, and just 0.6% for current English learners.

Younger English learners are more likely to be on track to English language proficiency than their older peers.

Oregon monitors whether current English learners (ELs) are on track to attain English language proficiency. In 2024-25, approximately 62.5% of ELs in elementary grades (K-5) were on track to meet this goal. The percentage declined substantially in middle school (35.2%) and high school (27.9%), indicating that ELs in middle and high school grades were less likely to be on track to attain English language proficiency within seven years than ELs in elementary grades. Among ELs with disabilities, the percentages were considerably lower at every grade span, with only 10.7% of high school ELs with disabilities on track to attain English language proficiency.

Former English learners consistently met or exceeded state standards at the highest rates.

Although proficiency rates varied across student groups, English language arts (ELA) and mathematics achievement remained below 50% for many student groups and grade spans statewide. Current English learners had the lowest rates of meeting or exceeding state standards in both ELA and Mathematics across all grade levels in 2024-25. In ELA, only 6.3% of current ELs in grades 3-5 met or exceeded standards, compared to 79.9% of former ELs, 56.5%% of monitored ELs, and 47.6% of never ELs. Similar patterns were observed in middle school and high school, where only 4.1% of current ELs in grades 6-8 and 4.6% of current ELs in grade 11 met or exceeded ELA standards.

A similar achievement gap exists in Mathematics. In grades 3-5, only 7.0% of current ELs met or exceeded standards, compared to 68.3% of former ELs, 43.2% of monitored ELs, and 40.0% of never ELs. In grades 6-8, only 3.1% of current ELs met standards, while in grade 11, just 2.4% met standards. Across both subjects and all grade spans, former English learners consistently met or exceeded state standards at the highest rates among current, former, monitored, and never English learner groups.

Attendance rates are higher for former and monitored ELs, but lower among current ELs, particularly in high school.

Former and monitored English learners had the highest rates of regular attendance across most grade spans in 2024-25. At the elementary level, 87.1% of former ELs and 79.9% of monitored ELs were regular attenders, compared with 73.0% of never ELs and 65.9% of current ELs. Similar patterns were observed in middle school, where monitored ELs (73.7%) and former ELs (73.0%) had higher attendance rates than never ELs (68.7%) and current ELs (60.6%).

Attendance declined for all student groups in high school, but the decline was most pronounced among current ELs. Only 45.3% of current ELs in grades 9-12 were regular attenders, compared with 54.3% of former ELs, 61.6% of monitored ELs, and 59.3% of never ELs. Across all grades (K-12), current ELs had the lowest regular attendance rate at 60.0%.

Among graduates, current English learners were more likely to earn a modified diploma.

Among students who graduated, former English learners earned regular diplomas at the highest rates. In the four-year cohort, 98.0% of former English learner graduates earned a regular diploma, compared with 96.1% of never English learner graduates and 90.5% of current English learner graduates. Current English learner graduates were more likely to earn a modified diploma, with 9.5% of four-year cohort graduates and 9.7% of five-year cohort graduates earning modified diplomas, compared with 2.0% and 1.8% of former English learner graduates, respectively.

Students designated as English learners in high school are less likely to enroll in post-secondary education than their peers.

Post-secondary enrollment rates for former English learners were comparable to those of never English learners. In 2022-23 (as reported in 2024-25), 55.2% of former ELs enrolled in a post-secondary institution within 16 months of high school graduation, compared with 56.0% of never ELs. Current English learners enrolled at substantially lower rates relative to their population, with only 33.4% continuing to post-secondary education. Across the six years examined, former ELs consistently enrolled in post-secondary education at rates similar to or slightly higher than never ELs, while current ELs enrolled at markedly lower rates.

The average expenditures-to-revenues ratio increased in 2024-25.

As in reports from previous years, this report summarizes the ratio of total current English learner expenditures to revenues districts receive for these students. In 2024-25, this ratio is 0.95, which is higher than 0.87 for 2023-24.

Introduction

In the 2024-25 school year, students who received English language instruction, supports, and services through an English Language Development (ELD) program, as well as those who exited such programs in prior years, represented 19.0% of all students enrolled in Oregon public schools and districts (as of the first school day in May 2025). These multilingual learners are an essential part of our school communities, contributing rich cultural and linguistic assets that enhance learning environments across the state. Their diverse lived experiences, languages, and heritage knowledge are invaluable to both current and future generations of Oregonians.

Guided by our statewide vision as reflected in the Oregon Multilingual Learner Strategic Plan, this report is rooted in the belief that all adults share the responsibility of building educational systems where multilingual learners are recognized and celebrated. It highlights key data about this student population, tracks academic progress and achievement, and provides insight into the educational experiences and opportunities they encounter. Our mission calls us to transform Oregon's education system to ensure that all multilingual learners receive culturally and linguistically responsive instruction, access to rigorous academic programming, and clear pathways to reach their college, career, and life goals, including graduation with the Oregon State Seal of Biliteracy or Multiliteracy.

Why this report?

ORS 327.016 directs the Oregon Department of Education (ODE) to prepare an annual report on English learner program funding and student outcomes. The report describes the population of English learners in Oregon and provides a summary of district and state progress towards meeting English learners' needs and objectives through ELD programs. Senate Bill 141 (2025) further amended ORS 327.016 to require reporting on English learner progress toward statewide performance growth targets, including measures related to academic achievement, attendance, and completion outcomes. ORS 327.016 requires ODE to include the following information in the annual report:

- English learner student demographics;
- Length of participation in ELD programs;
- Participation in special education and related services; and
- Other information identified by the ODE.

In addition, this annual report includes the following financial information:

- Allocations to each school district from the State School Fund for students enrolled in ELD programs;
- The extent to which districts expend these allocations for students enrolled in ELD programs; and
- The categories of expenditures for ELD program funding.

This annual report looks back at the prior school year, which is the 2024-25 school year. This report will be available on the ODE website. State law requires the report to be available to the public at each district's main office and on district websites. In addition to the annual reporting requirement to the public, ORS 327.016 also requires the ODE to "submit to the interim legislative committees on education a summary of the two most recent reports prepared" prior to "January 1 of each odd-numbered year."

Definitions

ORS 327.016 describes students who receive English language instruction, supports, and services as part of an ELD program as English learners. While these students are learning English in an ELD program, the English learner designation only focuses on a singular dimension (i.e., learning English) and does not reflect the linguistic and cultural assets of the students (García, 2009). Thus, although this report will use the term "English learner" in order to comply with ORS 327.016, it is important to recognize that these students are multilingual and value their linguistic and cultural assets.

ODE annually reports four groups of English learners: current, former, monitored, ever, and never English learners. Current English learners are multilingual students who were learning English in an ELD program during the 2024-25 school year[2]. Former English learners are multilingual students who demonstrated English proficiency and exited an ELD program over four years prior to the 2024-25 school year. (Monitored English learners are a subset of former English learners who are monitored for four years after exiting an ELD program.) Ever English learners are the combination of current, monitored, and former English learners. Finally, never English learners are monolingual or multilingual students who were not designated to receive English language instruction, supports, and services in an ELD program before or during the 2024-25 school year.

Structure of this report

This report consists of five sections:

Section 1: Demographics of English Learners

Section 2: Participation in Targeted Programs

Section 3: Language Development and Academic Achievement

Section 4: Attendance, Progress toward Graduation, Graduation, and Beyond

Section 5: State Revenues and Expenditures for English Learners

Several aspects of this year's report differ from reports issued in previous years. These changes are informed by Oregon's Multilingual Learner Strategic Plan, which envisions educational systems where multilingual learners are valued for the rich languages, cultures, lived experiences, and knowledge they bring to Oregon schools and communities. Consistent with that vision, this report highlights student demographics, academic outcomes, educational experiences, and opportunities for current, former, and monitored English learners across the state. The report also reflects the Strategic Plan's priority areas of authentic and responsive community engagement, systems and instructional improvements, transformative educators and administrators, and pathways to multilingualism. To that end, where appropriate, this report provides comparisons to never English learners (i.e., students not eligible to receive services through an ELD program). Additionally, monitored English learners are now distinguished from former English learners. New sections on

[2] Note that current English learners also include students who are eligible to receive English language instruction, supports, and services in an ELD program but do not participate because their parents or guardians waive English language instruction, supports, and services.

Dual Language Bilingual Education (DLBE) programs, access to core content, and students' sense of belonging have also been added.

Furthermore, in addition to describing statewide patterns, in some cases the report indicates which districts serve large numbers of certain types of students (e.g., students graduating with a state seal of biliteracy).

Similar to last year, this report provides insights into student academic outcomes in English language arts (ELA) and Mathematics. In addition, consistent with amendments to ORS 327.016 resulting from Oregon Senate Bill 141, the report includes information on newly established performance growth target indicators, including 3rd grade ELA achievement, 8th grade mathematics achievement, kindergarten through second-grade regular attendance, K-12 regular attendance, and five-year completion rates for multilingual learners and their peers. To provide additional context, Oregon has established statewide performance growth targets for the 2029-30 school year, including 82.0% for kindergarten through grade 2 attendance, 78.3% for kindergarten through grade 12 attendance, 52.6% for third-grade English language arts proficiency, 52.0% for eighth-grade mathematics proficiency, 94.9% for ninth-grade on-track status, 92.3% for four-year graduation, and 95.4% for five-year completion. These targets reflect the long-term outcomes Oregon is working toward achieving statewide.

Finally, districts can access district-level English learner demographic and outcome data for the 2024-25 school year through the District Data Profiles; however, the profiles do not currently include all the new statewide accountability indicators discussed in this report.

Section 1: Demographics of English Learners in Oregon in 2024-25

Almost one in five Oregon students has been designated as an English learner. As of the first school day in May 2025, 539,521 students were enrolled in Oregon public schools and districts. Among those students, 12.0% were current English learners (64,525 students), 4.0% were former English learners (21,760 students), 3.0% were monitored English learners (16,285 students), and 81.0% were never English learners (436,951 students). Current, monitored, and former English learners, collectively referred to as ever English learners, represented 19.0% of all students (102,570 students) in 2024-25. These students brought a rich linguistic and cultural heritage to their classrooms, schools, and communities. This section summarizes the demographics of Oregon's English learner population, considering grade levels, interruptions in formal education among newcomer immigrant students, and racial, ethnic, and linguistic diversity.

Current and Former English Learners


Comparisons between current, monitored, former, and ever English learners are useful to understand the experiences and outcomes of English learners over time, and to counter misperceptions about English learner achievement. Current English learners tend to perform at lower levels while still developing English, particularly on assessments of content knowledge that are administered in English, which can negatively impact their performance. However, once current English learners attain English language proficiency, they often perform academically at significantly higher levels than their peers, including monolingual English speakers, indicating the value of bilingualism (Thompson, Umansky, & Rew, 2022; Hopkins, Thompson, Linquanti, Hakuta, & August, 2013).

Current English learners are multilingual students for whom English is not their native language, or who come from an environment where a language other than English has had a significant impact on their English proficiency, and who are learning English in an ELD program during the school year[3]. They receive English language instruction, supports, and services to help them become proficient in English. Figure 1 shows the increasing percentage of current English learners from the 2019-20 to 2024-25 school years[4].

[3] Note that current English learners also include students who are eligible to receive English language instruction, supports, and services in an ELD program but do not participate because their parents or guardians waive English language instruction, supports, and services.

[4] The data for this portion of the report relies on student enrollments as of the first school day in May 2024.


Figure 1. Percentage of all Oregon students who were Current English Learners (2019-20 to 2024-25)



Although a relatively small proportion of students in 2024-25 were former (21,760; 4%) or monitored (16,285; 3%) English learners, these remain important student groups for continued support and monitoring. Former English learners are multilingual students who demonstrated English proficiency and exited an ELD program prior to the 2024-25 school year. Monitored English learners are a subset of former English learners who are monitored for four years after exiting EL services. Research suggests that, even after attaining English proficiency, some former English learners may continue to need support in developing high levels of academic English necessary for success in school. Consistent with this need, ODE's Title III monitoring process includes reviewing how districts monitor the progress of former English learners and support their continued academic success.

Although the composition of the English learner population has changed over time, Oregon has maintained a relatively stable population of more than 100,000 ever English learners (current, former, and monitored English learners combined) over the past six years. Figure 2 shows the change in the count of current, former, and ever English learners in Oregon over the last six school years. In general, it displays a slightly fluctuating population of ever English learners in Oregon, starting at about 104,000 in 2019-20 and, following a decline and increase for a few years, reaching over 102,000 students in 2024-25.

Figure 2. Number of Current, Monitored, Former, And Ever English Learners in Oregon (2019-20 to 2024-25)




The majority of current English Learners were in the elementary grades.

Figure 3 illustrates the distribution of current English learners, former English learners, and monitored English learners across grade levels. In the early grades, nearly all ever English learners were classified as current English learners, with monitored English learners accounting for 100% of ever English learners in Kindergarten, 98.1% in Grade 1, and 90.3% in Grade 2. This population is not static, and each grade includes newcomers who may have schooling experiences from outside of the United States.

As students progress through elementary and middle school, the proportion of current English learners steadily declines while the percentages of former English learners and monitored English learners increase, reflecting students who have attained English proficiency and exited English learner services. By Grade 8, current English learners represented 53.2% of ever English learners, while former and monitored English learners combined accounted for 46.8%. By high school, current English learners comprised less than half of ever English learners, declining to 36.0% by Grade 12, while former and monitored English learners together represented 64.0%. This is because students attain English proficiency as they progress through the K-12 system and transition into monitored or former English learner status.

Figure 3. Comparison of the percentage of Current, Monitored, and Former English learners by grade in 2024-25




English Learner enrollment varied across Oregon districts.

Oregon has 197 school districts, and 80% of them are serving students designated as English learners. In 2024-25, 155 districts provided English language instruction, supports, and services to current English learners. Of these districts, 132 districts serving current English learners accessed federal Title III funding either directly or through a consortium, which in 2024-25 provided an additional $113 per student for supplemental English learner services. In contrast, 42 districts in Oregon did not have any enrolled English learners.

Figure 4 shows the distribution of current English learners across Oregon districts in 2024-25. There were eight districts with more than 2,000 current English learners. The figure illustrates substantial variation across districts in both the number and percentage of current English learners. While most districts served relatively small numbers of English learners and had English learner percentages below 10%, a smaller number of districts served either large numbers of English learners, high concentrations of English learners, or both. Notably, districts with the highest percentages of English learners were not always the districts with the largest English learner enrollments.

Figure 4. Comparison of the number and percentage of Current English learners by district in 2024-25



A sizable proportion of Oregon districts did not have any current English learner enrollments in 2024-25. The 42 districts not currently serving English learners tended to be small in overall population (median 108 students).

The variation in English learner's population across districts is also evident in Table 1, which shows the 10 districts with the highest numbers of current English learners.

Table 1. Districts with the highest number of Current English learners in 2024-25

District Name	Number of Current English Learners	Percentage of Current English Learners
Salem-Keizer SD 24J	8,663	23.4
Beaverton SD 48J	6,761	18
Portland SD 1J	4,514	10.5
Hillsboro SD 1J	3,909	20.9
Reynolds SD 7	3,397	34.9
David Douglas SD 40	2,677	30.6
Woodburn SD 103	2,508	48.1
North Clackamas SD 12	2,432	14.5
Gresham-Barlow SD 10J	1,966	17.3
Centennial SD 28J	1,638	30.4

In addition, Table 2 shows the 10 districts with the highest percentages of current English learners. Several districts, including Woodburn SD, Reynolds SD, David Douglas SD, Centennial SD, and Salem-Keizer SD, appear on both lists. This indicates that these districts not only serve large numbers of current English

learners, but that current English learners also comprise a substantial share of their overall student populations. Notably, nearly half of all students in Woodburn SD (48.1%) were current English learners, while current English learners represented more than one-third of students in Reynolds SD (34.9%) and approximately one-third of students in David Douglas SD (30.6%) and Centennial SD (30.4%).

Table 2. Districts with the highest percentage of Current English learners in 2024-25.


District Name	Number of Current English Learners	Percentage of Current English Learners
Woodburn SD 103	2,508	48.1
Reynolds SD 7	3,397	34.9
Umatilla SD 6R	487	33.4
David Douglas SD 40	2,677	30.6
Centennial SD 28J	1,638	30.4
Milton-Freewater Unified SD 7	391	25.4
Morrow SD 1	544	24.5
Forest Grove SD 15	1,373	24.3
North Marion SD 15	373	23.8
Salem-Keizer SD 24J	8,663	23.4

The number of recently arrived English learners increased substantially during the last two school years.

The term “recent arrivers” refers to students who were born outside of the U.S. and Puerto Rico, and who have been educated in the U.S. for fewer than three cumulative years (i.e., these students are recent immigrants). They can be current, former, or never English learners; however, the majority are current English learners.

The number of recent arrivers in Oregon has doubled in the past five years. In 2024-25, 10,353 current English learners were recent arrivers. This number represents the largest count of recent arrivers over the last six years (see figure 5).

Figure 5. Number of Current English learners who were recent arrivers in Oregon (2019-20 to 2024-25).



Most recently arrived English Learners (over 54%) were in the elementary grades, while about 21% were in grades 6-8 and over 24% were in high school. Current English learners who are recent arrivers in middle and high school contend with significant challenges, since they must learn the language while also using English-language textbooks and lectures to learn the content of their courses in a variety of subject areas (Short & Fitzsimmons, 2007). Recent arrivers in the secondary grades are known as adolescent newcomers, and districts sometimes design specialized programs to serve their unique language and cultural needs.

Statewide, the total number of current English learners who were adolescent newcomers in 2024-25 was 6,850. Five Oregon districts with the largest population of adolescent current EL newcomers in 2024-25 are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Districts with the largest population of EL adolescent newcomers in 2024-25.

District	Number of Adolescent Newcomers (Recently Arrived Current English Learners in Middle or High School)
Salem-Keizer SD 24J	577
Beaverton SD 48J	501
Portland SD 1J	436
David Douglas SD 40	373
Hillsboro SD 1J	256

In 2024-25, districts reported over 1,000 current English learners had experienced interruptions in their education.

Some current and former English learners had their education interrupted or received limited formal education before arriving in the U.S. school system. Often, these were immigrant or refugee students who had spent time in refugee camps or whose process of immigration to the U.S. prevented them from attending school for a period of time. These students are known as students with limited or interrupted formal education (often abbreviated as SIFE or SLIFE). Students are SLIFE if they are immigrant students or English learners who enter school in the U.S. after grade two and experience all of the following:


a. Have at least two fewer years of schooling than their peers of the same age,
b. Function at least two years below grade level expectations in reading and mathematics, and
c. Are preliterate in their native language.[5]

SLIFE students face unique circumstances. In addition to having missed at least two years of schooling, some arrive having experienced significant trauma. Schools need to find ways to serve SLIFE students without placing them in classes with younger students, since forming relationships with peers, including never English learner peers, is a factor that appears to improve academic outcomes (Browder, 2014).

ODE began collecting data on the number of students with limited or interrupted formal education in 2015-16. For the 2024-25 school year, districts reported 1,053 current English learners with limited or interrupted formal education (over 1.6% of all current English learners). As figure 6 illustrates, the number of current English learner students with limited or interrupted formal education decreased from 2018-19 to 2022-23 but increased in 2023-24 and 2024-25.

[5] See ESEA Title III English Learner Definitions for more details.

Figure 6. Number of Current English learners with an interrupted formal education (2018-19 to 2024-25).



Most students with limited or interrupted formal education were in high school (over 57%). Another 25.7% were in the middle school grades (grades 6-8), and only 16.9% were in the elementary grades.

In 2024-25, the distribution of current English learners with limited or interrupted formal education was not uniform across Oregon districts. Instead, current English learners with limited or interrupted formal education were, for the most part, concentrated in a few districts. Table 4 identifies districts that provided English language instruction, supports, and services to at least 20 current English learners with limited or interrupted formal education in 2024-25. These districts alone enrolled the majority of all SLIFE students in Oregon. Note that some of the districts serving many adolescent newcomers (see Table 3) also enroll a significant number of current English learners with interrupted formal education (e.g., Portland and Beaverton).

Table 4. Districts serving at least 20 Current English learners with interrupted formal education in 2024-25

District	Number of Current English Learners with Limited or Interrupted Formal Education
Hillsboro SD 1J	454
Beaverton SD 48J	143
McMinnville SD 40	79
Portland SD 1J	74
Hermiston SD 8	61
Woodburn SD 103	35
David Douglas SD 40	27
South Lane SD 45J3	23

Current English learners across the state spoke 247 unique home languages.

Statewide, current English learners spoke over 247 different languages at home. By far the most prevalent home language among Oregon current English learners was Spanish, spoken at home by over 75% of all current English learners. The four next most common languages were Russian, Chinese, Vietnamese, and Arabic. Taken together, these top five languages represent 84.0% of home languages among current English learners (see Table 5). In recent years, the number of current English learners speaking Somali, Mesoamerican languages, and other languages has been increasing.

In 2020, ODE expanded the language of origin reporting options to include an additional 384 languages, many of which were Mesoamerican languages such as Mam, Q'anjobal, and K'iche. This was intended to reduce the prevalence of districts reporting an unknown home language. However, in 2024-25, districts[6] reported an unidentified home language (listed as '*other languages*' in Table 5) for 861 English learners, suggesting a need for continued training and support in this area.


Table 5. Most prevalent home languages among current English learners in 2024-25.

Language	Number of Current English Learners with this Language	Percentage of Current English Learners with this Language
Spanish	48,740	75.50%
Russian	1,605	2.50%
Chinese	1,233	1.90%
Vietnamese	1,191	1.80%
Ukrainian	896	1.40%
Other languages	861	1.30%
Chuukese	835	1.30%
Arabic	820	1.30%
Somali	545	0.80%

Figure 7 provides a map illustrating the number of different home languages in different Oregon districts. A few districts, mostly in the Portland metropolitan area and Salem, provide English language instruction, supports, and services to students with over 60 unique home languages.

[6] These districts in alphabetical order included: Beaverton SD 48J, Bend-LaPine Administrative SD 1, Bethel SD 52, Canby SD 86, Centennial SD 28J, Coos Bay SD 9, Corvallis SD 509J, David Douglas SD 40, Eagle Point SD 9, Estacada SD 108, Eugene SD 4J, Greater Albany Public SD 8J, Gresham-Barlow SD 10J, Hillsboro SD 1J, Hood River County SD, Klamath County SD, Lake Oswego SD 7J, Lincoln County SD, McMinnville SD 40, Mitchell SD 55, Morrow SD 1, Mt. Angel SD 91, North Clackamas SD 12, Oregon City SD 62, Oregon Trail SD 46, Parkrose SD 3, Pendleton SD 16, Portland SD 1J, Prairie City SD 4, Redmond SD 2J, Reynolds SD 7, Riverdale SD 51J, Salem-Keizer SD 24J, Scio SD 95, Siuslaw SD 97J, Springfield SD 19, Tigard-Tualatin SD 23J, Warrenton-Hammond SD 30, and Woodburn SD 103.


Figure 7. Geographic distribution Current English learner home languages across Oregon districts during 2024-25



The vast majority of current English learners were Latina/o/x.


In the 2024-25 school year, Oregon served 64,525 current English learners (ELs), the vast majority of whom—49,555 students, or 76.8%, identified as Latina/o/x. This reflects the continuing trend in Oregon and nationally, where Latina/o/x students make up the largest share of the English learner population. Asian students comprised the second-largest racial/ethnic group among English learners at 8.7%, followed by White students at 7.4%. Other racial and ethnic groups were represented in smaller proportions: Black/African American students accounted for 3.3% of current English learners, while Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander students made up 2.5%. Students who identified as multi-racial represented 0.9%, and American Indian/Alaska Native students accounted for 0.5% of the English learner population. These data highlight the linguistic and cultural diversity of Oregon's English learner community, while also emphasizing the high representation of Latina/o/x students in English learner programs. Understanding this demographic breakdown is important for tailoring supports, instructional models, and family engagement strategies that are responsive to students' backgrounds and needs. It also underscores the importance of culturally and linguistically responsive practices, especially for the groups that make up smaller shares of the English learner population and may face different access or inclusion challenges in school systems.

Figure 8. Percentage of Current English learners by race/ethnicity in 2024-25.



It is also important to note that most Latina/o/x students in Oregon were not current English learners. In fact, according to Figure 9, only 34.6% of Latina/o/x students were current English learners in 2024-25. Moreover, 33.6% of Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander students were current English learners.

Figure 9. Percentage of each racial/ethnic group who were Current English learners in 2024-25.



Section 2: Participation in Targeted Programs

Schools and districts deliver a range of programs that aim to address the needs of particular groups of students. These include students experiencing economic disadvantages (previously measured via free and reduced price meals), special education, migrant education, and TAG programs. Most of these programs receive some federal funding; however, TAG programs receive only state funding. This section of the report describes the participation of English learners in each of these programs during the 2024-25 school year.


Students Experiencing Poverty

Prior to the 2023-24 school year, the identification of economically disadvantaged students relied on their eligibility for free and reduced price (FRP) school meals. FRP, however, provides an imprecise measure, since some eligible students and families never apply to the program. In addition, following the COVID-19 pandemic, some schools have continued to allow meals to be served at no cost to all students, further invalidating FRP as a reliable measure for determining students experiencing economic disadvantages (English Learners in Oregon Report, 2024).

Since the 2023-24 school year, ODE began adopting a new composite indicator, replacing FRP, called "Students Experiencing Poverty" (SEP, Oregon Statewide Report Card, 2024). SEP includes those students that experienced one or more disadvantages, including receiving Supplemental Nutrition Assistance (SNAP) or Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) benefits, being in foster care, experiencing homelessness, or receiving migrant education services.

Figure 10 illustrates the percentage of students identified as experiencing poverty within four English learner groups for the 2024-25 school year. The data reveal that Current English Learners are the most affected, with 52.3% identified as experiencing poverty, followed by Monitored English Learners (38.9%) and Former English Learners (37.7%). The group with the lowest SEP rate is Never English Learners, at 30.4%.

Figure 10. Percentage of Current, Monitored, Former, and Never English learners experiencing poverty in 2024-25.



Special Education

Students who are current English learners and receive special education services and supports are known as dual-identified students, since they receive instruction, supports, and services from two programs (i.e., English language development and special education).


There is some concern in the field about the difficulty of accurately identifying current English learners who may need special education services and supports. Because students are simultaneously developing English proficiency and academic skills, it can be challenging to distinguish language acquisition processes from disability-related needs. As a result, some students may be incorrectly identified for special education services, while others with genuine disabilities may not be identified in a timely manner. These identification

challenges are present not only in Oregon but across the U.S. (Artiles & Ortiz, 2002; Hamayan, Marler, Sanchez Lopez, & Damico, 2007; Umansky, Thompson, & Díaz, 2017).

Current English learners received special education services and supports at a higher rate.

Figure 11 shows the percentage of English learner subgroups receiving special education services in Oregon during the 2024-25 school year. Current English learners had the highest rate at 18.9%, followed by never English learners at 17.1%. Monitored English learners and former English learners had lower rates, at 7.3% and 4.8%, respectively. While current English learners had a slightly higher rate of special education participation than never English learners, the two groups had broadly similar rates. In contrast, former and monitored English learners had substantially lower rates. These patterns highlight the importance of careful evaluation practices to distinguish language acquisition needs from disability-related needs.


Figure 11. Percentage of Current, Monitored, Former, and Never English learners receiving special education services and supports in 2024-25.



The percentage of current English learners receiving special education decreased in 2024-25.

In 2024-25, 12,187 current English learners (18.9%) were receiving special education supports and services. Despite their higher rate of identification for special education services, the percentage of current English learners receiving special education services and supports remained relatively stable compared to the previous year, increasing slightly from 18.8% in 2023-24 (see Figure 12).

Figure 12. Percentage of Current English learners receiving special education services and supports (2018-19 to 2024-25).



Most current English learners with a disability in 2024-25 had a Specific Learning Disability (3,748 students) or a Speech/Language Impairment (2,625 students) as their primary disability. Other primary disabilities, with 100 or more current English learners in 2024-25, included Autism Spectrum Disorder, Other Health Impairments, Developmental Delay, Intellectual Disability, Emotional Behavior Disability, and Deaf or Hard of Hearing (see Table 6). It is also worth noting that 4.1% (2,625 students) of dually identified current English learners reported a Speech/Language Impairment, which remains over 1 percentage point higher than the rate observed among never English learners (about 3.0%).

Table 6. Primary disabilities involving 100 or more current English learners in 2024-25.

Disability Type	Number of Current English Learners	Percent of Current English Learners
Specific Learning Disability	3,748	5.8
Speech/Language Impairment	2,625	4.1
Autism Spectrum Disorder	1,459	2.3
Other Health Impairments	1,161	1.8
Developmental Delay 3-9yr	881	1.4
Intellectual Disability	697	1.1
Emotional Behavior Disability	290	0.4
Deaf or Hard of Hearing	174	0.3

Migrant Education

Some English learners also participate in migrant education programs. Students ages 3-21 can qualify for Title I-C migrant education programs (MEP) if they or their parents are engaging in qualifying agricultural or fishing work, and they have moved within the past 36 months to obtain or seek this type of work. Many migrant

children experience poverty, and when they move, they confront the challenges of having to adjust to different teachers with different instructional approaches and materials, as well as building new social connections. The intent of migrant education programs is to ensure that migrant children receive the support that addresses their unique situations and can receive supports necessary to succeed academically.

Over 9% of current English learners received services from Migrant Education Programs in 2024-25.

Oregon has one of the largest migratory student populations nationally. As of the first school day in May 2025, 5,869 current English learners participated in MEPs. That number translates to 9.1% of all current English learners. It also means that more than half (63.2%) of the 9,287 students in MEPs were current English learners in 2024-25. Moreover, 15.6% of students in MEPs were former English learners, and 11.2% were monitored English learners. Overall, 90% of the 9,287 students who were identified for services from MEPs were ever English learners.

During the 2024-25 school year, Oregon's MEP was administered by 18 local subgrantees, known as MEP Regions. This program is federally funded through Title I, Part C for migrant education, and regional programs receive federal funds based on federal and state allocation formulas for the local identification of migratory students and provision of MEP services in approximately 117 districts across the state. In 2024-25, Salem-Keizer SD had the largest number of migratory English learners in the state, with 958 students, followed by Southern Oregon ESD, which had 803 migratory English learners (see Table 7).[7]

[7] In the 2024-25 school year, Oregon identified a total of 12,810 migratory students for the Migrant Education Program, as identification and provision of services with Title I-C funds continue throughout the summer session.

Table 7. Regions that participated as subgrantees of migrant education programs as of the first school day in May 2025.

MEP Regions	Current English Learners identified for MEP
Salem-Keizer SD	958
Southern Oregon ESD	803
Intermountain ESD	622
Willamette ESDL/Linn/Benton/Lincoln/Polk/Yamhill Counties	431
Woodburn	405
Lane ESD/Douglas County	371
Forest Grove SD	300
Hillsboro SD	278
Hood River County	254
High Desert ESD/ Wheeler Counties	248
Columbia George ESD	229
Beaverton SD	229
East Multnomah County	203
Clackamas ESD	176
Ontario/Annex SDs	154
Northwest Regional ESD/Carlton SD	105
Nyssa	91
Non-project Areas	12

Talented and Gifted

Research suggests that multilingual learners may possess strengths and talents that are not always recognized through traditional gifted identification processes. Nationally, English learners have historically been underrepresented in gifted and talented programs, prompting researchers and educators to examine more equitable approaches to identifying gifted potential among multilingual learners (Mun et al., 2021). Understanding participation in TAG programs among English learners can help districts ensure that advanced learning opportunities are accessible to all students. The state requires that all school districts establish policies and procedures to identify students to participate in Talented and Gifted (TAG) services[8]. These students may have high general intelligence and/or demonstrate unusual academic ability in one or more particular areas (Gubbins et al., 2020). State law requires districts to develop a TAG plan to provide programs and services beyond regular school programs in order to ensure that eligible students can develop and realize their potential (OAR 581-022-2500).

Correctly identifying students for TAG programs and providing specialized services is important because multilingual learners may demonstrate giftedness in ways that are not always recognized through traditional

[8] These instructional services mainly include services to students identified as TAG in general education classrooms (push-in/full inclusion).

identification processes. English learners may be overlooked for TAG services when language proficiency is mistaken for a lack of advanced academic potential, resulting in underrepresentation in gifted programs (Mun et al., 2021). Ensuring equitable identification practices helps districts recognize the strengths, talents, and academic potential of multilingual learners and provide them with appropriate advanced learning opportunities. Findings from ODE suggest that approximately 10% of TAG students drop out of high school (Allen, 2016).

Current English Learners were rarely identified for TAG Services.

According to Figure 13, 7.6% of never English learners (34,311 students) were identified for TAG services in 2024-25. While 7.3% of former English learners were identified (1,583 students), 6.9% of monitored English learners were identified (1,123 students), and only 0.6% of current English learners were identified for TAG programs in 2024-25 (397 students). Never English learners were over 12 times more likely to be identified for TAG programs than current English learners in 2024-25.

Figure 13. Percentage of Current, Former, Ever, and Never English learners who participated in a TAG program in 2024-25.



Section 3: Language Development and Performance on State Assessments

Students who are current English learners have to develop proficiency in English. In addition, and at the same time, they must learn all the same academic content as never English learners in Oregon. This section of the report provides data about English language proficiency and academic outcomes of current English learners (with comparisons to former, ever, and never English learners).


Progress Towards English Language Proficiency

Title I-A of the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires current English learners to take an English language proficiency assessment (ELPA) annually. The ELPA measures a student's proficiency in the domains of listening, reading, speaking, and writing. Students who demonstrate proficiency on all four ELPA domains are ready to exit the ELD program. Since 2017-18, ODE monitors whether current English learners are on track to attain English language proficiency using a trajectory expectation model. Under this model, most current English learners are expected to attain English language proficiency within seven years, while

students with disabilities and/or limited or interrupted formal education are expected to attain proficiency within eight years. Current English learners are on track if their current ELPA domain performance meets or exceeds trajectory expectations given (1) initial ELPA domain performance, (2) years identified as a current English learner, and (3) disability and/or interrupted formal education status. These expectations are in line with trajectory expectations indicated by research on English learners (see Hakuta, Goto Butler, & Witt, 2000; Robinson, Cimpian, Thompson, & Umansky, 2016; Umansky & Reardon, 2014), as well as students with limited or interrupted formal education (SLIFE) and dual-identified English learners (see Burke, Morita-Mullaney, & Singh, 2016; Conger, 2009; Kieffer & Parker, 2016; Thompson, 2015; Umansky & Reardon, 2014). Interested readers are also referred to Oregon's ESSA Consolidated State Plan (2017, pp. 43-44) for additional information regarding Oregon's trajectory expectations.

As illustrated in Figure 14, as grade level increases, the percentage of current English learners on track to attain English language proficiency decreases from 62.5% in elementary school grades to 27.9% in high school grades. Furthermore, the same pattern is evident for current English learners with disabilities, where the percentage decreases from 42.4% in elementary school grades to 10.7% in high school grades. To some extent, the decrease in the percentage of current English learners on track to attain English language proficiency from elementary to high school grades is not surprising due to the annual exiting of current English learners and the annual enrollment of new English learners. This has a considerable impact on the composition of current English learners across grade levels. Thus, inferences and comparisons across grade levels and between groups of current English learners (e.g., those with and without disabilities) must be made with caution.

Figure 14. Percentage of all Current English learners and Current English learners with disabilities on track to attain English language proficiency by elementary (K-5), middle (6-8), and high school grades (9-12) in 2024-25.



Long-term current English learners made up 22.5% of all current English learners.

ODE defines a long-term current English learner as a student who receives English language instruction, supports, and services in an ELD program for more than seven years. Parents and communities have concerns about the ability of Oregon's schools and districts to ensure current English learners attain English language proficiency and exit an ELD program within an appropriate amount of time. Current English learners who are unable to meet proficiency expectations after a period of time are known as long-term current English learners. In Oregon, the current average number of years in the English learner program is over 4.6 years (i.e., about 4 years and 8 months).

In 2024-25, most current English learners (77.5%) were not long-term English learners; however, this means that 22.5% of current English learners received English language instruction, supports, and services for more than seven years, which is similar to 2023-24 (23%). This is a concern because slower development of English language proficiency is highly predictive of other academic challenges (Menken & Kleyn, 2009; Danahy Ebert & Reilly, 2022). An important point to consider is the ability of Oregon's schools and districts to meet the needs of current English learners. This is particularly salient given that 39.4% of current English learners with disabilities in 2024-25 were long-term English learners.


Approximately 31% of current English learners receiving special education services and supports developed English language proficiency in seven years.

It is also useful to look at the development of English language proficiency from another angle, namely, what proportion of current English learners develop proficiency within a particular amount of time?

This measure uses data for all current English learners who received English language instruction, supports, and services in an ELD program in 2024-25 regardless of the length, start, or end of enrollment. While most of the data in this report rely on the first school day in May 2025 as a snapshot, this measure includes all current English learners (even those not enrolled on the first school day in May 2025).

Figure 15 addresses this question for current English learners with and without disabilities. For current English learners without disabilities who began receiving English language instruction, supports, and services on or after July 1, 2018, the probability of reclassification (i.e., attaining English language proficiency and exiting an ELD program) after seven years is 0.85 (or 85%). That is, 85% of the current English learners without disabilities attained English language proficiency and exited English learner services in seven years. On the other hand, 31% of current English learners with disabilities attained English language proficiency and exited an ELD program in seven years. Succinctly put, English learners without a disability are, on average, nearly three times as likely to exit the English learner program in seven years than their English learner peers with a disability.

Figure 15. Probability of reclassification for Current English learners with and without disabilities after seven years (July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2025).



Performance on English Language Arts and Mathematics Assessments

Each year, all Oregon students in grades 3–8 and 11 take state assessments in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics. These assessments are a key measure of academic progress and help inform Oregon's statewide educational goals and accountability targets. In particular, literacy achievement is closely tied to Oregon's efforts to improve 3rd grade reading outcomes and long-term student success. ELA is assessed only in English, which may present additional challenges for English Learners who are still developing English proficiency. The math assessment is offered in English with language access supports available to eligible students[9].

Oregon has established statewide performance growth targets for the 2029-30 school year, including 82.0% for K-2 attendance, 78.3% for K-12 attendance, 52.6% for 3rd grade ELA proficiency, 52.0% for 8th grade mathematics proficiency, 94.9% for 9th grade on-track status, 92.3% for four-year graduation, and 95.4% for five-year completion. These targets provide a statewide benchmark for evaluating progress toward Oregon's long-term educational goals.

[9] It is worth noting that state mathematics summative tests allow for a Spanish-English toggle feature that may be set up by test administrators upon request prior to the test.

Former ELs outperformed or matched never ELs in ELA in early grades, while current ELs met state standards at significantly lower rates across all grades.

Consistent with Oregon Senate Bill 141, the Education Accountability Act, Figure 16 now includes ELA results for Grade 3 in addition to elementary, middle, and high school grades.

According to Figure 16, across all grade levels, current English learners had the lowest percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards, with only 6.3% in Grades 3-5, 6.0% in Grade 3, 4.1% in Grades 6-8, and 4.6% in Grade 11.

Former English learners, on the other hand, performed significantly better, especially in Grades 3-5, where 79.9% met or exceeded standards, the highest percentage across all groups and grade spans. This performance exceeded Oregon's 2029-30 statewide target of 52.6% for 3rd grade ELA proficiency. Although performance declined in later grades, with 50.5% in Grades 6-8 and 39.3% in Grade 11, former English learners continued to outperform current and monitored English learners.


Monitored English learners who were reclassified from English learner status within the past four years demonstrated moderate performance. In Grades 3-5, 56.5% met or exceeded standards, increasing to 59.1% in Grade 3, before declining to 34.9% in Grades 6-8 and 27.3% in Grade 11. This downward trend suggests that some reclassified English learners may struggle to maintain academic proficiency as curriculum demands increase.

Never English learners generally outperformed current and monitored English learners but underperformed former English learners in elementary and middle school grades. Their percentages remained relatively stable across grade spans: 47.6% (Grades 3–5), 44.9% (Grade 3), 47.3% (Grades 6–8), and 49.3% (Grade 11).

Overall, the data indicate that current English learners face the greatest challenges in ELA, while former English learners, particularly in elementary grades, demonstrate higher rates of proficiency than other English learner groups and, in some cases, their never English learner peers. Relative to Oregon's 2029-30 statewide target of 52.6% for 3rd grade ELA proficiency, performance varied considerably across English learner groups, with former English learners in Grades 3-5 exceeding the target and current English learners remaining below it. These patterns highlight persistent differences in outcomes across student groups and underscore the importance of continued efforts to improve literacy achievement for English learners.[10]

[10] In addition to state assessments, in the 2024 National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) ELA assessment in Oregon, English learners showed significantly lower performance compared to all students. In 4th grade, only 1% of ELs reached proficiency, compared to 19% of all students, and 93% of ELs scored below basic. In 8th grade, 1% of ELs were proficient, with 86% scoring below basic, while 24% of all students were proficient.

Figure 16. Percentage of Current, Monitored, Former, and Never ELs meeting or exceeding state standards in English language arts in elementary, middle and high school, 2024-25[11]



In Math, Former ELs outperformed Never ELs in elementary and middle school grades, while Current ELs met standards across all grade levels at substantially lower rates.

Figure 17 now includes results for Grade 8 in addition to elementary, middle, and high school grades, which is one of Oregon's key student outcomes for accountability.

Based on Figure 17, across all grade levels, current English learners consistently exhibited the lowest achievement in mathematics. Only 7.0% of current English learners in Grades 3-5 met or exceeded standards, and this percentage declined sharply to 3.1% in Grades 6-8, 2.7% in Grade 8, and 2.4% in Grade 11. This trend highlights the significant challenges faced by current English learners in mastering mathematical concepts and navigating the language demands of mathematics assessments administered in English.


[11] In this chart, the group "current EL" includes only students who were classified as current ELs at the time of testing. In some other state accountability reports, the performance of current and recently reclassified ELs are reported together.

Former English learners showed relatively strong performance, especially in elementary grades, where 68.3% met or exceeded standards—surpassing all other groups. However, their performance declined with grade level, dropping to 34.6% in Grades 6-8, 30.1% in Grade 8, and 12.1% in Grade 11. This pattern suggests increasing challenges in maintaining mathematics achievement as academic expectations become more rigorous.

Monitored English learners who recently exited English learners status outperformed current English learners at every grade level but did not consistently surpass never English learners. In Grades 3-5, 43.2% of monitored English learners met standards, compared to 40.0% of never English learners. However, this advantage disappeared in later grades, with monitored English learners trailing never English learners in Grades 6-8 (19.5% vs. 33.5%), Grade 8 (15.2% vs. 32.6%), and Grade 11 (9.1% vs. 23.4%).

Overall, the data reveal a persistent achievement gap in mathematics for current English learners and indicate that early gains among former and monitored English learners may diminish over time, highlighting a need for sustained academic support throughout students' educational trajectories.[12].

Figure 17. Percentage of Current, Monitored, Former, And Never ELs meeting or exceeding state standards in mathematics in elementary, middle and high school, 2024-25[13]



[12] In addition to state assessments, the 2024 National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) for Oregon, English learners (ELs) scored significantly lower than all students. In 4th grade, only 4% of ELs reached the proficient level compared to 25% of all students, with 70% of ELs scoring below basic. The disparity was even greater in 8th grade: just 1% of ELs were proficient, while 90% scored below basic, compared to 45% of all students.

[13] Also in this chart, the group "current EL" includes only students who were classified as current ELs at the time of testing.

Section 4: Attendance, Progress toward Graduation, Graduation, and Beyond

This section of the report examines several important outcomes for English learners. These are attendance, 9th grade progress towards graduation, four-year graduation, earning a Seal of Biliteracy/Multiliteracy, and post-secondary enrollment.

Regular Attendance

In recent years, researchers and educators alike have devoted increasing attention to ensuring students attend school on a regular basis. Research has shown that even moderate levels of absenteeism can have a profound impact on students' grades, performance on standardized assessments, graduation rates, and success in college (Allensworth & Evans, 2016; Ginsburg, Jordan, & Chang, 2014). In Oregon, students exhibit "regular attendance" at school if they attend more than 90% of school days during the school year.

Current English learners consistently have lower rates of regular attendance across all grade levels, with engagement declining considerably in high school.

Consistent with Oregon Senate Bill 141, Figure 18 includes regular attendance rates for K-2 and K-12 students (common metrics for statewide performance) in addition to elementary, middle, and high school grades.


As shown in Figure 18, current English learners consistently exhibited the lowest rates of regular attendance compared to former, monitored, and never English learners, beginning with 65.9% in elementary school, declining to 60.6% in middle school, and reaching a low of 45.3% in high school. Across all grades (K-12), only 60.0% of current English learners were regular attenders.

Former monitored English learners demonstrated the highest rates of regular attendance in elementary and middle school, with former English learners leading at 87.1% in elementary school and 73.0% in middle school. Monitored English learners followed closely with 79.9% and 73.7%, respectively. In K-2 grades, monitored English learners had the highest attendance rate at 82.9%.

By high school, attendance declined across all groups, but former English learners (54.3%) and monitored English learners (61.6%) still maintained higher attendance rates than current English learners. Never English learners showed a steadier attendance pattern, with 73.0% in elementary school, 68.7% in middle school, and 59.3% in high school.

Overall, the data highlight the need for targeted attendance interventions, particularly for current English learners—whose engagement appears to decrease most significantly in secondary school. Supporting this group with culturally and linguistically responsive attendance strategies may be critical to improving long-term educational outcomes (also see the section on *sense of belonging*).

Figure 18. Percentage of Current, Monitored, Former, and Never English learners regularly attending school by elementary (K-5), middle (6-8), high school (9-12), K-2, and K-12 grades in 2024-25



On Track to Graduate

Around the country, states and districts track whether students in the 9th grade are on track to graduate within four years[14]. They do this because 9th grade is a critical year for determining whether students will ultimately graduate from high school; identifying students who are not on track allows schools to provide supports and interventions to help keep students in school and progressing towards graduation. Note that In Oregon, students in the 9th grade are on track to graduate if they earn at least six credits or 25% of the credits their district requires for graduation. However, one should note that ODE's data only captures the number of credits earned and not the specific courses associated with those credits. From 2021-22 to 2024-25, a higher percentage of former and never English learners were on track to graduate compared to current English learners.[15]

Former EL Students Show Strong and Consistent Growth in Ninth-Grade On-Track Rates

According to Figure 19, across all years, former English learner students consistently outperformed current and never English learner students in terms of being on track to graduate. Their rates increased steadily from

[14] Note that the data in for 9th grade on track defines former English learners as multilingual students who attained English language proficiency and exited an ELD program which also includes monitored English Learners.
[15] Data representing the percentage of current, former, and never English learners who were on track to graduate in ninth grade were not available during the 2019-20 school year. The reason for the unavailability is due to the State of Oregon's response to the COVID-19 pandemic (e.g., the cancellation of data collections that either capture the specific data or support the calculation of this measure at the district and state levels).

83.8% in 2021-22 to 89.5% in 2024-5, marking a 5.7 percentage point increase over four years. While this rate remains below Oregon's statewide 2029-30 target of 94.9% for 9th grade on-track status, the upward trend reflects substantial progress toward that goal.


Never English learner students also demonstrated consistent improvement, increasing from 83.8% in 2021-22 to 87.4% in 2024-25. Although the two groups had identical rates in 2021-22, former English learners maintained a slight advantage in subsequent years, highlighting the strong performance of the former English learner group.

Current EL Students Increased Their On-Track Rates by More Than Seven Percentage Points

Current English learner students had the lowest on-track rates across all years, though they showed improvement from 68.5% in 2021-22 to 75.9% in 2024-25, a 7.4 percentage point gain. While this progress is encouraging, the persistent gap between current English learners and the other two groups (approximately 12-14 percentage points in 2024-25) signals a continued need for targeted support and resources.

Overall, the data reveal positive trends for all groups, especially for former English learner students. While former English learner students reached 89.5% in 2024-25, all groups remained below Oregon's statewide 2029-30 target of 94.9% for 9th grade on-track status. The persistent disparities between current English learner students and their peers highlight the importance of continued efforts to improve graduation readiness and support equitable outcomes for English learners.

Figure 19. Percentage of 9th grade Current, Former, and Never English learners on track to graduate within four years (2020-21 to 2023-24).



Four-Year Graduation

ODE uses a cohort adjusted graduation rate to examine and monitor the percentage of students graduating within a specific number of years. The data for this section relies on the four-year cohort adjusted graduation rate for current, former, and never English learners. For the purposes of reporting graduation data to the public, current English learners are multilingual students who received English language instruction, supports, and services in an ELD program at any time during high school. Former English learners are multilingual students who attained English language proficiency and exited an ELD program prior to entering high school, which, for cohort-adjusted graduation rates, also includes monitored English learners (see Cohort Graduation Rate Policy and Technical Manual, 2021).


Former English learners graduated at rates similar to or better than never English learners; however, substantially fewer current English learners graduated in four years. According to Figure 20, former English learner students consistently had the highest graduation rates, increasing from 84.3% in 2018-19 to 89.5% in 2024-25. At the current rate of improvement, former English learner students would be expected to meet or exceed Oregon's 2029-30 statewide four-year graduation target of 92.3%. Their rates remained above those of other groups each year, reflecting strong long-term outcomes for students who have exited English learner services.

Never English learner students followed a relatively stable trend, with graduation rates ranging between 80.3% and 83.6%, ending at 83.6% in 2024-25. While graduation rates remained relatively high, they were still below Oregon's statewide 2029-30 target of 92.3% and consistently lower than those of former English learner students.

Current English learner students showed steady improvement, rising from 60.2% in 2018-19 to 69.8% in 2024-25; a 9.6 percentage point increase. While this represents meaningful progress, a large gap (nearly 20 percentage points) remains between current English learner and their peers, signaling persistent inequities.

Overall, the data suggest that while graduation outcomes have improved across all groups, former English learners outperform both their peers who never received services and those currently receiving them. Continued focus is needed to close gaps for current English learners and support equitable graduation outcomes.

Figure 20. Percentage of Current, Former, and Never English learners graduating within four years (2018-19 to 2024-25).



Five-Year Completer Rates

The five-year cohort completer rate is a measure of the percentage of students in an adjusted cohort who complete high school within five years, and is one of Oregon's common metrics for accountability under SB 141. Similar to the graduation rate, it tracks whether students successfully finish high school, but it uses a broader definition of completion. In addition to students earning a standard or modified diploma, the completer rate also includes students who earn other approved completion credentials, such as extended diplomas, adult high school diplomas, or GEDs. The adjusted cohort consists of students who first enrolled in high school together, plus students who transferred into the cohort, minus students who transferred out (Cohort Graduation Rate Manual, 2021).

Under SB 141, graduation and completion outcomes are included as part of the state's broader accountability framework focused on improving long-term student success. As part of this work, Oregon reports five-year

completion outcomes for English learner student groups, including current English learners, former English learners, and never English learners, within statewide accountability and reporting systems.


Figure 21 below presents Oregon's five-year completion rates for these groups from 2018-19 through 2024-25. Several important patterns emerge. Former English learners consistently had the highest completion rates across all years, increasing from 85.3% in 2018-19 to 89.6% in 2024-25. This indicates that students who successfully exited English learner services completed high school at very high rates over time.

Current English learners had substantially lower completion rates than former English learners, but demonstrated steady improvement throughout the period. Their five-year completion rate increased from 66.0% in 2018-19 to 75.0% in 2024-25, representing a 9.0 percentage point increase. This upward trend suggests a gradual improvement in high school completion outcomes among students who were still receiving English learner services.

Never English learner students also showed modest improvement over time, rising from 81.8% in 2018-19 to 84.1% in 2024-25. Across all years, never English learner completion rates remained below former English learner rates but above current English learner rates.

Overall, the data indicate that five-year completion outcomes improved over time, particularly among current English learners. However, substantial gaps between current English learners and former English learners remained across all years, highlighting the continued importance of academic, linguistic, and graduation supports for students who are still developing English proficiency while progressing through high school.

Figure 21. Five-year completion rates for Current, Former, and Never English learners (2018-19 to 2024-25).



Current English learners graduating in four and five years were more than twice as likely to receive a modified diploma.

Modified diplomas are designed for students who meet certain criteria listed in OAR 581-022-2010. Modified diplomas require fewer credits to graduate compared to a regular high school diploma. Among current English learners who graduated in four years in 2024-25, 9.5% received a modified diploma (see Table 8). By contrast, 2.0% of former English learners and 3.9% of never English learners who graduated in four years received a modified diploma.

In addition, among students who graduated in four years in 2024-25, current English learners were more than 2.4 times more likely to receive a modified diploma compared to never English learners. This ratio remained similar for cohorts that graduated in five years, where current English learners were more than twice as likely to receive a modified diploma than never English learners.

Table 8. Percentage of students receiving regular vs. modified diplomas

Student (Diploma Type)	Four-Year Cohort	Five-Year Cohort
Current English Learners (Modified)	9.5%	9.7%
Former English Learners (Modified)	2.0%	1.8%
Never English Learners (Modified)	3.9%	4.1%
Current English Learners (Regular)	90.5%	90.3%
Former English Learners (Regular)	98. 0%	98.2%
Never English Learners (Regular)	96.1%	95.9%

Oregon State Seal of Biliteracy/Multiliteracy

Multilingualism offers many cognitive, academic, and economic benefits. The Oregon State Seals of Biliteracy and Multiliteracy recognize student proficiency in two or more languages and are one of the local metrics districts may adopt under the Oregon's Education Accountability Act. Students are eligible to earn a Seal of Biliteracy or Multiliteracy if they:

- Meet all state and district graduation requirements, and
- Demonstrate ACTFL Intermediate High proficiency in listening, speaking, reading, and writing in any two or more languages.


The data for this section relies on students who graduated in 2023-24 (and were part of the four-year cohort adjusted graduation rate) as the denominator in calculations. Moreover, this section will examine the count and percentage of current, former, and never English learners[16] who earned the Seal of Biliteracy in 2023-24.

[16] For the purposes of reporting Seal of Biliteracy data in this report, current English learners are multilingual students who received English language instruction, supports, and services in an ELD program at any time during

Seal of Biliteracy Participation Highlights Opportunities to Better Recognize Multilingual Students

Of the 3,073 students who earned the Seal of Biliteracy or Multiliteracy in 2024-25, 42.8% were former English learners and 13.6% were current English learners. Although ever English learners represented a majority (58.1%) of Seal recipients, these findings also suggest that many multilingual students, particularly former English learners who have already demonstrated English proficiency, may not be earning the Seal. This may represent an opportunity to expand awareness, access, and participation in the Seal of Biliteracy program among eligible students.


Figure 22. Percentage of Current, Former, and Never English learners graduating with the Seal of Biliteracy/Multiliteracy in 2024-25 (among all students who graduated with the Seal of Biliteracy)



According to Figure 23, among former English learners who graduated in 2024-25, 25.6% earned the Seal of Biliteracy. Moreover, 15.5% of current English learner graduates earned the Seal of Biliteracy in 2024-25. Among never English learners who graduated in 2024-25, 4.0% also earned the Seal of Biliteracy.

high school. Note that current English learners included students who were eligible to receive English language instruction, supports, and services in an ELD program but did not participate because their parents or guardians waived services. Former English learners are multilingual students who attained English language proficiency and exited an ELD program prior to entering high school. Lastly, never English learners are monolingual English or multilingual students who were not eligible to receive English language instruction, supports, and services in an ELD program at any time in grades kindergarten through twelve.

Figure 23. Percentage of Current, Former, and Never English learners earning the Seal of Biliteracy in 2024-25 (among Current, Former, and Never English learner graduates).



Students earned the Seal of Biliteracy/Multiliteracy in a diversity of language combinations; however, English-Spanish was the most common combination.

Students who graduated with a Seal of Biliteracy (3,073 students) demonstrated proficiency in a combination of languages. English-Spanish was the most common combination of those languages (83%). Other notable combinations of languages in which students demonstrated their proficiency included English-French (4%), English-Japanese (4%), and English-Chinese (2%).

Ever English Learner Graduates Across Oregon Achieved Recognition for Biliteracy in 2024–25.

Table 9 highlights the Oregon districts with the highest numbers of ever English learner graduates earning the Seal of Biliteracy in 2024-25. Salem-Keizer SD 24J led with 235 students, followed by Woodburn SD 103 with 208 students, and Hillsboro SD 1J with 165 students. These districts had the highest numbers of ever English learner graduates earning the Seal of Biliteracy. Examining Seal attainment among ever English learners provides insight into how multilingual students are being recognized for demonstrating proficiency in English and at least one additional language. District-level participation varied, reflecting differences in the size of multilingual student populations as well as local implementation and participation patterns.

Table 9. Ten Oregon districts with the highest numbers of 2024-25[17] Ever EL graduates earning the Seal of Biliteracy.

District	Student Count	District	Student Count
Salem-Keizer SD 24J	235	North Clackamas SD 12	76
Woodburn SD 103	208	Bend-LaPine Administrative SD 1	76
Hillsboro SD 1J	165	Forest Grove SD 15	69
Beaverton SD 48J	140	Tigard-Tualatin SD 23J	55
Reynolds SD 7	83	Portland SD 1J	54

Postsecondary Enrollment

ODE annually examines and publicly reports the percentage of high school graduates who enroll in post-secondary education institutions in Oregon and across the U.S. (e.g., public and private, two-year and four-year, etc.). A post-secondary education affords students a wide range of advantages, including greater employment opportunities, financial security, opportunities to contribute to their community, and greater life satisfaction. For the current report, the data for this measure uses students who graduated in 2022-23 (and were part of the four-year cohort adjusted graduation rate) as the denominator in calculations. Moreover, this portion of the report examines the count and percentage of current, former, and never English learners[18] who enrolled in a post-secondary education institution within 16 months after graduation.

Never English learners were more likely to enroll in post-secondary education institutions than Current English learners; however, Former English learners had comparable post-secondary enrollment rates as Never English learners.

Figure 24 displays the percentage of current, former, and never English learners enrolling in post-secondary institutions within 16 months of high school graduation from 2017-18 to 2022-23.

Across all years, never English learners and former English learner students consistently had higher post-secondary enrollment rates than current English learner students. Never English learner students began at 62.6% in 2017-18 and declined steadily to 56.0% by 2022-23. Similarly, former English learners started at 61.5% in 2017-18 and decreased to 55.2% in 2022-23. Despite relatively small year-to-year fluctuations, both

[17] Note that the counts in this table reflect students who graduated in 2024-25 (and were part of the four-year cohort adjusted graduation rate) and earned the Seal of Biliteracy.

[18] For the purposes of reporting post-secondary enrollment data in this report, current English learners are multilingual students who received English language instruction, supports, and services in an ELD program at any time during high school. Note that current English learners included students who were eligible to receive English language instruction, supports, and services in an ELD program but did not participate because their parents or guardians waived services. Former English learners are multilingual students who attained English language proficiency and exited an ELD program prior to entering high school. Lastly, never English learners are monolingual English or multilingual students who were not eligible to receive English language instruction, supports, and services in an ELD program at any time in grades kindergarten through twelve.


groups show a clear downward trend, reflecting an overall decline in post-secondary enrollment during this period.

Current English Learners faced the steepest decline in college enrollment, dropping nearly 9 percentage points since 2017–18.

Current English learner students consistently had the lowest enrollment rates and experienced the sharpest decline. From a starting point of 42.0% in 2017-18, their enrollment dropped to 33.4% by 2022-23—an 8.6 percentage point decrease. Notably, their rate peaked at 50.7% in 2018-19, briefly narrowing the gap, but then fell substantially in subsequent years. The COVID-19 pandemic likely exacerbated existing barriers to enrollment for this group, such as limited access to college guidance, financial challenges, and language-related hurdles.

By 2022-23, the gap between current English learners and their peers had widened considerably, particularly when compared to former English learners (21.8 percentage points). This suggests a pressing need for targeted supports to help current English learner students navigate the transition to post-secondary education and address the long-term decline in enrollment observed across all groups, especially newcomers who have significant gaps in their schooling.

Figure 24. Percentage of Current, Former, and Never English learners enrolling in post-secondary institutions within 16 months of high school graduation (2017-18 to 2022-23[19]).



[19] The latest year shown (2022-23) represents the school year in which students graduated from high school constituting the latest data for the current report.

Dual Language Bilingual Education Programs


Dual Language Bilingual Education (DLBE) programs are a research-based approach to educating English learners that promote bilingualism, biliteracy, academic achievement, and cross-cultural understanding (Soltero, 2018). These programs provide instruction in both English and a partner language, allowing students to develop high levels of proficiency in both languages. For English learners, dual language education offers meaningful access to academic content while supporting continued development of their home language. Research consistently shows that English learners in DLBE programs outperform their peers in English-only settings on academic outcomes (Vazquez Cano & Motamedi, 2024). DLBE programs also have shown to be superior to other instructional models (e.g., Pull-out, ELD Class Period) in promoting English proficiency (Motamedi, et al., 2019). These programs also align with the state's goals for equity and inclusion by valuing multilingualism as an asset. Expanding access to high-quality dual language programs is a key strategy for supporting English learner success and a priority under Oregon's ML strategic plan.

Despite their empirical appeal, there is limited up-to-date information available about DLBE programs in Oregon. While a valuable DLBE directory was publicly introduced in 2023 by Oregon State University (OSU), the information it contains reflects an earlier snapshot of program features and may not fully represent recent developments. To address this, ODE recently launched an effort to update OSU's directory. This involved reaching out to multilingual program leaders across the state and inviting them to review and revise their program data. The following provides a brief overview of the languages and school types represented among the 200 schools across 38 school districts offering DLBE programs, based on the DLBE directory.

In Oregon, DLBE programs are available in multiple partner languages.

As shown in Figure 25, DLBE programs in Oregon span seven distinct partner languages. Of the 200 DLBE programs across the state, Spanish is used as the partner language in 177 programs, accounting for 88.5% of all DLBE offerings. The second most common partner language is Chinese, featured in 12 programs, representing 6.0% of the total. Japanese is used in five DLBE programs statewide (2.5%). French and Russian are each used in two programs (1.0% each), while Vietnamese and Ichishkiin Kiksht Numu are each represented in one program statewide (0.5% each).

Figure 25. Distribution of DLBE programs by partner languages (2024-25).



DLBE programs are offered in various school types.

The distribution of these programs by school type is presented in Table 10. This wide coverage reflects both the diversity of student populations and district-specific strategies for promoting bilingualism.

The majority of DLBE offerings are found in elementary schools, with 101 schools, representing 50.5% of all DLBE program offerings statewide, serving as the foundational entry point for dual language education.

Middle schools account for 40 of the 200 DLBE program offerings, or 20.0% of the total. These programs frequently serve as the second stage of a K-12 pathway, continuing language and content instruction in the partner language for students who began in elementary DLBE.

High schools represent 47 program offerings (23.5%) providing dual language instruction. These programs typically emphasize biliteracy and academic content in the partner language, with course offerings such as language arts, social studies, and language-specific electives.

Finally, the remaining 12 program offerings (6.0%) classified as “Other” include K-8 schools, charter schools, and alternative educational settings that span multiple grade levels or use non-traditional organizational structures.

Table 10. The number of DLBE programs by school type (2024-25)

School Type	Number of Schools
Elementary	101
Middle School	40
High School	47
Other	12

Research suggests that DLBE programs may help narrow opportunity gaps for English Learners.

Research from Oregon suggests that DLBE programs may help reduce opportunity gaps between English learners and their peers. While statewide Oregon student outcome data comparing DLBE and non-DLBE participants are not currently available, existing studies provide important insights into the potential benefits of these programs. For example, research by Vazquez Cano and Motamedi (2024) in the Beaverton School District found that DLBE programs played a significant role in narrowing academic opportunity gaps between current and former English learners and students who were never classified as English learners. English learners participating in DLBE programs demonstrated stronger performance on state assessments in English language arts and mathematics than their peers in English-only programs. They also showed faster academic growth and were more likely to be on track to graduate by grade 9. Notably, participation in DLBE programs reduced the achievement gap between ever English learners and never English learners by nearly half by middle school. These findings suggest that DLBE programs may support academic success while promoting educational equity through linguistically and culturally responsive instruction that affirms the identities and strengths of multilingual learners.

Research suggests that DLBE programs may support English language development.

Existing research indicates that students participating in DLBE programs often demonstrate strong English language development outcomes. While statewide Oregon data are not currently available to evaluate these outcomes across DLBE programs, existing studies provide important insights into the potential benefits of these programs.

In addition to their impact on academic outcomes, research suggests that DLBE programs may support English language proficiency more effectively than some other instructional models, such as pull-out services, stand-alone ELD class periods, or co-teaching approaches. For example, Motamedi et al. (2019) found that English learner students in DLBE programs demonstrated significantly greater grade-to-grade growth in reading, writing, listening, and speaking than students in pull-out programs or whose families waived English

learner services. Although students in pull-out programs entered school with higher levels of English proficiency, students in DLBE programs often caught up to and surpassed their peers by the end of elementary school. Furthermore, DLBE students demonstrated stronger outcomes on standardized English language arts assessments, even when matched with demographically similar peers. In contrast, increasing the amount of daily English learner instruction beyond 50 minutes was not associated with improved English proficiency and, in some cases, was associated with lower performance. Collectively, these findings suggest that DLBE programs may support long-term English language development while promoting bilingualism and biliteracy.

English Learners' Access to Core Content

Access to rigorous academic content is widely recognized as an important factor in promoting educational equity and long-term student success. Existing research provides important context for understanding why access to core academic content matters. Core content courses serve as foundational gateways to graduation, college readiness, and career opportunities (Umansky, Shin, Thompson, Avelar, & Bovee, 2024; Vazquez Cano, Umansky, & Thompson, 2021). However, systemic barriers, including restrictive course placement policies, language support structures that limit course enrollment, and misconceptions about English learners' academic potential, can reduce opportunities for participation. Schools, districts, and state education agencies can help address these barriers by implementing evidence-based strategies that expand access to rigorous coursework and academic pathways. When English learners are fully included in academic pathways, they are more likely to thrive academically and participate meaningfully in the broader educational landscape.

As one indicator of access to rigorous coursework, the next section examines English learners' participation in Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate (AP/IB) and Career and Technical Education (CTE) courses in Oregon high schools.

Former English Learners in high school enrolled in Advanced Placement and International Baccalaureate courses at the highest rate, while Current English Learners had the lowest rate.

The data presented in Table 11 highlight important differences in participation in Advanced Placement (AP) and International Baccalaureate (IB) courses among high school English learner groups. In the 2024-25 academic year, 11.6% of current English learner high school students were enrolled in AP/IB classes, compared to 31.5% of former English learner high school students, 23.8% of monitored English learner high school students, and 24.8% of high school students who were never classified as English learners.

Current English learner high school students participated in AP/IB coursework at substantially lower rates than their peers. In contrast, former English learner high school students participated at rates exceeding both monitored and never English learner students. These differences may reflect barriers that limit access to advanced academic coursework for current English learners, including course placement practices, scheduling constraints, language support needs, and perceptions regarding students' academic readiness and potential.

Table 11. The number and percentage of Current, Monitored, Former and never English Learners enrolled in an AP/IB class (2024-25)

Description	Count	Total Highschoolers	Percent
ELs in an AP/IB Class	17,65	15,164	11.6%
Former ELs in an AP/IB Class	5,488	17,439	31.5%
Monitored ELs in an AP/IB Class	738	3,104	23.8%
Never ELs in an AP/IB Class	34,923	140,604	24.8%

Current English Learners are enrolled in the Career and Technical Education (CTE) courses at a lower rate than other students.

In addition to lower AP/IB participation, Table 12 shows that current English learner high school students participate in Career and Technical Education (CTE) courses at lower rates than other student groups. In 2024-25, 53.4% of current English learner high school students were enrolled in a CTE course, compared with 64.9% of former English learner high school students, 68.4% of monitored English learner high school students, and 58.1% of high school students who were never classified as English learners.

The lower participation rate among current English learner high school students may limit access to pathways that support high school completion, career readiness, and economic mobility. Schools and districts should continue working to ensure equitable access to CTE opportunities by addressing barriers and promoting inclusive enrollment practices.

Table 12. The number and percentage of current, former, monitored, and never English Learners enrolled in a CTE class (2024-25)

Description	Students Enrolled	Total Highschoolers	Percent
ELs in a CTE Class	8,101	15,164	53.4%
Former ELs in a CTE Class	11,320	17,439	64.9%
Monitored ELs in a CTE Class	2,122	3,104	68.4%
Never ELs in a CTE Class	81,755	140,604	58.1%

English Learners' Sense of Belonging

While academic outcomes like test scores, graduation rates, and similar measures offer important insights into student success, they do not capture the full picture, particularly for English learners. A growing body of research highlights the critical role that sense of belonging plays in students' academic and emotional wellbeing (Allen, Kern, Vella-Brodrick, Hattie, & Waters, 2018; Gillen-O'Neel, 2021). For English learners, feeling welcomed, valued, and represented in their school communities is especially important given the additional cultural, linguistic, and social transitions they often navigate. A strong sense of belonging can improve motivation, engagement, and resilience, and is linked to better attendance and higher academic achievement (Gillen-O'Neel, 2021; Jacoby, 2023).


In Oregon, the *Student Educational Equity Development* (SEED) Survey captures students' voices on their school experiences, including how supported and connected they feel. In 2024-25, about 15% of the 180,076 SEED respondents were English learners. By monitoring English learner students' sense of belonging, Oregon takes a critical step toward ensuring that every student, regardless of language background, experiences school as a place of safety, connection, and inclusion. The SEED Survey included items assessing both social identity (e.g., identity representation in curriculum) and emotional connection (e.g., feeling welcome at school). In the following, we explore two survey items that examine how often English learners' social identity and emotional connection is represented in schools and how it compares to that by other groups of English learners.

English learners report low levels of identity representation in school assignments.

Figure 26 presents data from the 2024-25 SEED Survey, which captures perceptions of identity representation in curriculum among Oregon students in grades 3–11. The survey item asked: *"Think about your assignments from this school year. How often did they have pictures or stories of people who are like you and your family?"* Responses are disaggregated by ever English learner (Ever EL) status and never English learner (Never EL) status. About half of both groups responded "Never/Rarely" (50.0% for Ever ELs and 52.8% for Never ELs), while relatively few students selected "Often" (9.3% and 11.4%, respectively). Ever ELs reported slightly more frequent identity representation than Never ELs, with a higher percentage selecting "Sometimes" (40.7% vs. 35.8%).

These findings suggest that many students, including Ever ELs, do not regularly see themselves or their families reflected in classroom content. This underrepresentation may contribute to feelings of alienation, lower academic engagement, and a weaker sense of belonging. The results point to a continued need for schools to incorporate culturally responsive curriculum materials that reflect and affirm students' diverse identities and lived experiences, especially those from multilingual backgrounds.

Figure 26. Response rates[20] (%) for identity representation in school assignments among Ever and Never English Learners (2024-25)



Feeling welcome at school varies significantly across English learner groups.


Figure 27 presents the results from the 2024–25 Student Educational Equity Development (SEED) Survey, specifically student responses to the item: *"Think about this school year and the people at your school. How much do you agree with each statement? I feel welcome at my school."* The data are disaggregated by ever English learner (Ever EL) status and never English learner (Never EL) status.

The responses reveal only minor differences in students' sense of belonging. The vast majority of students, regardless of English Learner status, reported feeling welcome at school. Among Ever ELs, 85.1% agreed with the statement, compared to 83.7% of Never ELs. The percentage who disagreed was similarly low, 14.9% for Ever ELs and 16.3% for Never ELs.

These patterns suggest that most students feel welcomed at school regardless of English learner status. While the results are generally positive, schools should continue fostering inclusive environments that support the belonging and engagement of multilingual learners and their peers.

[20] Percentages exclude respondents who skipped the item and may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

Figure 27. Response rates[21] (%) for feeling welcome at school among Ever and Never English Learners (2024-25)



Section 5: State Revenues and Expenditures for Current English Learners


Each year, Oregon's State School Fund provides funding to districts through General Purpose Grants. The amount of the grant relies on a formula that considers the number of students in the district (known as average daily membership weighted or ADMw). On average, the per-pupil funding amount in 202425 was $10,596.[22] In addition to this basic funding, districts receive additional state funds for each student enrolled in an ELD program. This amount is 0.5 × $10,596 or $5,298 per current English learner. Collectively, the state allocated $285,570,839 for these additional English learner funds in the 2024-25 school year.

Figure 28 depicts the relationship between current English learner revenues the state allocated to districts via the State School Fund Formula and the total current English learner expenditures from the General Fund expressed as a ratio. Statewide, the ratio of expenditures to revenues in 2024-25 was 0.95, meaning that district expenditures on current English learners reflected 95% of the funds the state allocated to districts via the State School Fund Formula. Some districts (n=33) spent about average or more than this percentage (up to about 378%), while others (n=164) reported spending less (as little as 0%). The values on the extreme ends of the range, however, may reflect variations in the way that some districts report data. Some districts with few current English learners report revenue received from the state, but do not identify expenditures specific to current English learners, even though they may expend funds for English learner services. Other districts on the high end of the spending ratio may include expenses for dual-language programs that also educate

[21] Percentages exclude respondents who skipped the item and may not sum to 100 due to rounding.
[22] While $10,596 is the average amount, the grant amount can vary a bit for different districts because of the way the formula is set up.


former and never English learners, rather than calculating the percentage spent solely on current English learners.

Figure 28. Ratio of Current English learner expenditures to revenues across districts in 2024-25.



As figure 29 illustrates, the statewide ratio decreased from 2018-19 to 2021-22; however, the ratio generally increased from 2021-22 to 2024-25.

Figure 29. Ratio of statewide expenditures on current English learners to revenues (2018-19 to 2024-25).




Expenditures from the General Fund on current English learners either belong to Function 1291 (covering expenditures for instruction and interventions to help current English learners learn English) or Area of Responsibility 280 (covering other supports for current English learners, such as interpretation services or transportation). [23]

[23] For a more detailed description of the accounting system categories, see Oregon's Program Budgeting and Accounting Manual.

Current English learner expenditures for 2024-25 totaled $272,020,347. Districts accounted for approximately 87.1% of the expenditures ($236,837,340) using Function 1291 and 12.9% of the expenditures ($35,183,006) using Area of Responsibility 280.

In addition to state funding, 132 districts serving current English learners accessed federal Title III funding either directly or through a consortium, which in 2024-25 provided an additional $113 per student for supplemental English learner services. Figure 29 summarizes the percentage of the state and federal per-pupil funding for English learners in the 2024-25 school year.

Figure 30. Percentage of state and federal per-pupil funding for English Learners in 2024-25



As Figure 30 indicates, overall, about 99% (66% + 33%) of the funding allocated to English learners comes from the state, which in turn, reflects a strong state-level commitment to education equity for English learner students. Additional information on the grant amounts is available on the ODE website under Title III Allocations.

Conclusion

The data trends in this report underscore both meaningful progress and persistent challenges in supporting the state's multilingual students. Over 102,000 Oregon students are current, monitored, or former English learners, a testament to the state's growing linguistic diversity. Encouragingly, former and monitored English learners often achieve academic outcomes that meet or exceed those of their never English learner peers, demonstrating the long-term benefits of effective ELD services and the resilience of these students.

Notable progress is evident in several areas. For example, a growing share of English learners, particularly those who have exited English learner services, are earning the Oregon Seal of Biliteracy, highlighting bilingualism as both a personal asset and a statewide educational goal. Graduation rates for former English learners have improved steadily, outpacing never English learners, and additionally, postsecondary enrollment rates for these students now closely match those of their peers.

Despite these successes, persistent gaps underscore the need for continued investment and reform. Current English learners face significant academic disparities. Fewer than 10% meet state standards in English language arts or mathematics, and their regular attendance and on-time graduation rates lag considerably behind those of other student groups. Furthermore, English learners remain underrepresented in TAG programs and are more likely to receive modified diplomas, signaling potential inequities in both access and expectation. Another key concern is the overrepresentation of English learners in special education, raising questions about accurate identification practices.

Schools and districts should continue to strengthen culturally and linguistically responsive supports. Enhanced professional development, better data-driven decision-making, and equitable funding are essential. Additionally, sustained attention is needed to ensure students transitioning out of ELD programs, especially monitored English learners, continue to receive academic support as they navigate the demands of advanced coursework and postsecondary preparation.

In 2024, ODE introduced its latest Multilingual Learner Strategic Plan. The plan provides a clear roadmap for addressing these challenges, with priority areas emphasizing community engagement, transformative educators, and culturally responsive practices that lead to various multilingualism pathways. Actions outlined in the plan, such as expanding pathways to academic and linguistic success, fostering inclusive school environments, providing access to dual language education, and enhancing teacher training, are critical to addressing existing disparities.

By leveraging the strategies in the plan and aligning resources to meet the unique needs of English learners, Oregon can improve outcomes in future years, ensuring that every English learner has equitable opportunities to excel academically and linguistically to support their full participation and success in school and beyond.

Ultimately, Oregon's commitment to its multilingual learners should go beyond compliance; it must affirm their full academic potential and cultural contributions to our state.

References

Allen, A. (2016). *Examining best practices in gifted education to identify and serve culturally and linguistically diverse students.* Presentation at the Oregon Association of Bilingual Educators, Happy Valley, Oregon, June 24, 2016.

Allensworth, E., & Evans, S. (2016). Tackling absenteeism in Chicago. *Phi Delta Kappan, 98*(2), 16-21. https://doi.org/10.1177/0031721716671900

Artiles, A., & Ortiz. A. (Eds.). (2002). *English language Learners with special education needs: Assessment, identification, and instruction.* Washington, DC: Center for Applied Linguistics.

Browder, C. T. (2014). *English Learners with limited or interrupted formal education: Risk and resilience in educational outcomes.* University of Maryland: dissertation.

De Jong, E.J. (2004). After exit: Academic achievement patterns of former English language Learners. *Education Policy Analysis Archives, 12* (50). Downloaded from https://epaa.asu.edu/ojs/article/view/205/331

Ebert, K. D., & Reilly, M. (2022). Predictors of language proficiency in school-age Spanish–English bilingual children with and without developmental language disorder. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition, 25(2),* 296-306.

Flores, S. M., Batalova, J., & Fix, M. (2012). *The Educational trajectories of English language learners in Texas.* Washington DC: Migration Policy Institute.

García, O. (2009). Emergent bilinguals and TESOL: What's in a name? *TESOL Quarterly, 43*(2), 322-326. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1545-7249.2009.tb00172.x

Ginsburg, A., Jordan, P., & Chang, H. (2014). *Absences add up: How school attendance affects student success*. Attendance Works. Downloaded from https://www.attendanceworks.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/Absenses-Add-Up_September-3rd-2014.pdf

Motamedi, J. G., Vazquez, M., Gandhi, E., & Holmgren, M. (2019). *English language development minutes, models, and outcomes*. Education Northwest.

Gubbins, E. J., Siegle, D., Peters, P. M., Carpenter, A. Y., Hamilton, R., McCoach, D. B., ... & Long, D. (2020). Promising practices for improving identification of English learners for gifted and talented programs. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 43(4),* 336-369.

Jacoby, I. (2023, October). *Student sense of belonging in schools: Connection to outcomes.* https://www.oregon.gov/ode/educator-resources/assessment/Documents/SenseofBelongingOutcomes.pdf

Hamayan, E., Marler, B., Sanchez Lopez, C., & Damico, J. (2007). *Special education considerations for English language Learners: Delivering a continuum of services*. Philadelphia: Caslon.

Hopkins, M., Thompson, K. D., Linquanti, R., Hakuta, K., & August, D. (2013). Fully accounting for English learner performance: A key issue in ESEA reauthorization. *Educational Researcher*, *42*(2), 101–108. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X12471426

Menken, K., & Kleyn, T. (2009). The difficult road for long-term English Learners. *Educational Leadership, 66(7),* 26-29.

ODE ESSA Plan (2017). *Oregon's Consolidated State Plan Under the Every Student Succeeds Act. Available at: Oregon's Consolidated State Plan Under the Every Student Succeeds Act*

ODE (2021). *Cohort Graduation Rate Policy and Technical Manual*. *Available at: https://www.oregon.gov/ode/reports-and-data/students/Documents/cohort-graduation-rate-policy-manual_202021.pdf*

ODE (2024). *Oregon Statewide Report Card. Available at:* https://www.oregon.gov/ode/schools-and-districts/reportcards/Documents/rptcd2024.pdf

Short, D. J., & Fitzsimmons, S. (2007). *Double the work: Challenges and solutions to acquiring language and academic literacy for adolescent English language learners: A report to Carnegie Corporation of New York.* Alliance for Excellent Education.

Thompson, K. D., Umansky, I. M., & Rew, W. J. (2022). Improving understanding of English learner education through an expanded analytic framework. *Educational Policy*. https://doi.org/10.1177/08959048221087214

Umansky, I. M., Shin, N., Thompson, K. D., Avelar, J., & Bovee, J. (2024). English Learners' Access to Core Content: Academic Course Enrollment in High School. *National Research and Development Center to Improve Education for Secondary English Learners at WestEd.*

Vazquez Cano, M., Umansky, I.M., & Thompson, K.D. (2021). How State, District, and School Levers Can Improve the Course Access of Students Classified as English Learners in Secondary Schools. *National Research and Development Center to Improve Education for Secondary English Learners at WestEd.*